Grantee: Massachusetts

Grant: B-13-DS-25-0001

October 1, 2014 thru December 31, 2014 Performance Report



Grant Number: Obligation Date: Award Date: B-13-DS-25-0001

Grantee Name: Contract End Date: Review by HUD:

Massachusetts Reviewed and Approved

Grant Award Amount: Grant Status: QPR Contact:

\$7,210,000.00 Active No QPR Contact Found

LOCCS Authorized Amount: Estimated PI/RL Funds:

\$3,638,739.00

Total Budget: \$7,210,000.00

Disasters:

Declaration Number

No Disasters Found

Narratives

Disaster Damage:

Disaster Recovery Action Plan

, FY 2013

,

Massachusetts

Community Development Block Grant Program

,

,

,

,

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Deval Patrick, Governor

,

Department of Housing and Community Development

Aaron Gornstein, Undersecretary

,



September 3, 2013
Revised: October 9, 2013
Revised March 25, 2014
, ,
, INTRODUCTION
,
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts is required to publish an Action Plan for Disaster Recovery (Action Plan) that describes the proposed use of U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funding associated with the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-2) for disaster relief of unmet needs resulting from five (5) storm events that occurred during 2011 and 2012. These five events – two severe winter storms, a tornado, Tropical Storm/Hurricane Irene and Hurricane Sandy – resulted in the following federal disaster declarations applicable to all 14 Massachusetts counties.
, ØFEMA Disaster 1959: Severe Winter Storm 1/11/11 – 1/12/11 (Berkshire, Essex, Hampden, Hampshire, Middlesex, Norfolk & Suffolk Counties)
ØFEMA Disaster 1994: Tornado 6/1/11 (Hampden & Worcester Counties)
ØFEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene 8/27/11 – 8/29/11 (Barnstable, Berkshire, Bristol, Dukes, Franklin, Hampden, Hampshire, Norfolk & Plymouth Counties)
ØFEMA Disaster 4051: Severe Winter Storm 10/29/11 – 10/30/11 (Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire, Hampden, Middlesex & Worcester Counties)
ØFEMA Disaster 4097: Hurricane Sandy 10/27/12 – 11/8/12 (Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Nantucket, Plymouth & Suffolk Counties)
, This Action Plan is required, pursuant to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development&rsquos Notice of Funding Availability, provided in Federal Register / Volume 78, Number 103, Docket No. FR-5696-N-03. This document will describe:
§ The effects of the disasters, and the State and Federal responses to date;
§ The Commonwealth&rsquos assessment of need and strategy for Disaster Recovery;
§ Eligible affected areas and applicants;
§ The methodology to be used t
Disaster Damage:
istribute funds to those areas and applicants;
§ Activities for which funding may be used;
§ The Commonwealth&rsquos public outreach and citizen participation process used to develop the Action Plan.
This Action Plan, and subsequent amendments, will be used by the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) to guide the distribution oof \$1,388,800 must be spent in Hampden County) of CDBG-DR funds toward meeting unmet housing, infrastructure, business and job retention/creation, public service, public facility, disaster recovery planning and other needs in counties designated as Presidential Disaster Areas. Amendments to this Plan will be made as additional funds are obligated and for the reasons identified in the section titled Action Plan Amendments.

The Act provides that funding under the CDBG-DR Program must be used &Idquofor necessary expenses related to disaster relief, long-term recovery, restoration of infrastructure and housing, and economic revitalization in the most impacted and distressed areas resulting from a



major disaster.&rdquo

The Federal Register Notice also imposes strict expenditure and compliance deadlines on the Commonwealth. Accordingly, HUD is allowing Grantees to request less than the full funding amount in a Partial Action Plan. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, like other states to which CDBG-DR Program funds were allocated by HUD, will pursue incremental obligation of the funding to support its relief and restoration programs and activities to ensure compliance with HUD&rsquos deadlines. Specifically, the Commonwealth is requesting that HUD make \$3,638,739 million available immediately upon approval of this Action Plan.

With respect to CDBG-DR Program eligible activities, the State must also ensure that at least 50% of its CDBG-DR grant funds are used for activities that benefit low- and moderate&ndashincome (&ldquoLMI&rdquo) persons. LMI persons are defined for the purposes of the CDBG-DR Program as persons and families whose income does not exceed 80% of the area median income (&ldquoAMI&rdquo), as determined by HUD. This 50% requirement applies to the Commonwealth&rsquos expenditure of the entirety of the funding, less administration and planning, and does not apply to each individual program or activity. For the purposes of the total CDBG-DR allocation, this would mean a minimum of \$3,424,750 of these funds must be obligated to the national objective of benefitting low- and moderate- income persons.

As set forth in the Federal Register Notice, the funding cannot be used for any of the following: to assist second homes (as defined in IRS Publication 936); and to assist private utilities or organizations.

In addition, all expenditures of the funding, other than Administration and Planning, must meet at least one of the three federally-mandated national objectives: low- and moderate&ndashincome benefit; elimination of slums or blight; and urgent need.

Any activity not listed in the Housing and Community Development Act, as modified by the Federal Register Notice, is not an activity for which any of the funding can be used unlesa

Disaster Damage:

pecific waiver of such ineligibility has been granted by HUD and/or the federal Office of Management and Budget. HUD has granted a number of general program waivers which have provided greater flexibility to the administration of the program.

Action Plan Contact

Mark Siegenthaler, Community Development Manager

Department of Housing and Community Development

100 Cambridge Street, Suite 300

Boston, MA 02114

Phone: (617) 573-1426

Email: Mark.Siegenthaler@state.a.s/&sp;;/pg;/&asmp;t;

DISASTER-RELATED IMPACTS

As detailed in the narratives contained Appendix 2, five (5) disaster events occurred in the Commonwealth between January 2011 and December 2012 that are the declared disaster storms for the CDBG-DR funding available to the Commonwealth. The events affected all 14 counties and 351 municipalities at some time during the two-year period. Consequently, the entire population of Massachusetts was affected by one or more of the events.

A statistical view of the Commonwealth, at that time, is contained in Table 1. The tables also break out statistics for Hampden County, identified by HUD as a &ldguomost impacted and distressed county&rdguo.

Table 1.

Demographics

ΜΔ

Hampden County



```
Population,2012 estimate
6,646,144
,
465,923
White persons percent,2012
,
83.70%
84.00%
Black or African American persons, 2012
7.90%
,
10.50%
, American Indian and Alaska Native persons,2012
0.50%
0.80%
Asian persons,2012
,
5.80%
,
2.20%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander persons, 2012
0.10%
0.20%
Two or More Races,2012
,
2.00%
2.40%
Hispanic or Latino,2012
10.10%
22.00%
White, not Hispanic or Latino,2012
,
75.80%
,
66.60%
High school graduate or higher, percent of persons age 25+,2007-2011
,
88.90%
,
83.50%
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25+, 2007-2011
,
38.70%
23.90%
```



```
Housing units,2011
2,818,940
192,197
Homeownership rate,2007-2011
63.60%
63.00%
Housing units in multi-unit structures, percent,2007-2011
41.80%
38.80%
Median value of owner-occupied housing units,2007-2011
$343,500
$202,500
Building permits,2012 nbsp
Disaster Damage:
11,111
330
Households,2007-2011
2,522,409
177,954
Per capita income in the past 12 months, 2007-2011
,
$35,051
$25,363
Median household income,2007-2011
$65,981
$48,866
Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011
10.70%
16.60%
SOURCE: American Community Survey (ACS) 2006 &ndash 2011 Five-Year estimates
DISASTER ASSISTANCE
The CDBG Disaster Recovery Program is designed to supplement other forms of assistance.
```



Private insurance, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) programs, and Small Business Administration (SBA) loans are the primary

sources of disaster assistance available to property owners in thecounties designated as Presidential Disaster Areas.

UNMET NEEDS The impacts of the June 1, 2011 Tornado, Tropical Storm Irene and Hurricane Sandy exceed the available primary disaster assistance. To address the reamshU.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development allocated CDBG-DR funds to Massachusetts for use in 2013. To date, the unmet needs described below have been identified by State agencies, municipalities, and public housing authorities. However, as recovery from these disasters proceeds, and insurance benefits/SBA loans are realized, additional unmet needs may be identified. Additional unmet needs will be documented in Action Plan amendments. The Commonwealth&rsquos needs assessment involved the following: 1. Outreach to units of general local government and non-profit organizations, regional planning agencies, community development corporations, other interested parties, and consultation with the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) Public Housing Division on behalf ofeligible public housing authorities and shelters. 2. Review of Letters of Interest solicited directly from municipal officials and other interested parties. 3.Review of documents available from FEMA, the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA), the SBA, the Towns of Monsonand West Springfield, local media and other sources regarding impacts and disaster assistance. 4. Coordination with other state and federal funding agencies involved in response and recovery efforts. Outreach efforts by the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) are described in the Citizen Participation section of this Action Plan. The request for Letters of Interest is attached as Appendix 5. Unmet Public Assistance Needs Table 2. FEMA Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (all categories) **Disaster Damage:** #1959 #1994 #4028 #4051 #4097 TOTALS



\$312,000 , \$8,794,285 \$2,836,400 \$55,695,688 \$2,097,465 \$69,735,838 , В \$19,545,550 \$5,311,318 \$1,180,100 \$9,330,936 \$2,252,329 \$37,620,233 Ċ , \$0 , \$113,105 \$9,969,677 \$81,500 \$190,463 \$10,354,745 , D , \$0 \$48,051 \$9,026,500 \$283,200 \$433,171 \$9,790,922 , E \$10,000 \$10,022,270 **\$**0 , \$345,608 \$6,216,781 \$16,594,659 F **\$**0 \$261,124



\$2,037,400

```
$463,657
$859,989
$3,622,170
,
G
,
$0
$58,618
$35,000
$12,389,920
$10,159,100
$22,642,638
TOTALS
$19,867,550
$24,608,771
$25,085,077
$78,590,509
$22,209,298
$170,361,205
Table 3.
FEMA Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (permanent work only)
Category
#1959
#1994
,
#4028
,
#4051</a;
,
C$0
,
$113,105
$9,969,677
,
$81,500
$190,463
$10,354,745
,
D
$0
$48,051
$9,026,500
```



\$283,200 \$433,171 \$9,790,922 , E \$10,000 \$10,022,270 , \$0 , \$345,608 \$6,216,781 \$16,594,659 F \$0 \$261,124 \$2,037,400 \$463,657 \$859,989 \$3,622,170 , G \$0 \$58,618 \$35,000 \$12,389,920 \$10,159,100 \$22,642,638 TOTALS , \$10,000 \$10,503,168 \$21,068,577 \$13,563,885 \$17,859,504 \$63,005

Disaster Damage:

134

FEMA Public Assistance Grants (as of 8/20/13)

\$0

\$4,156,516

, \$18,365,837



```
$4,163,566
$2,383,062
$29,068,981
UNMET NEED
$10,000
$6,346,652
$2,702,740
$9,400,319
$15,476,442
$33,93,153
1959: Severe Storm, January 2011
Category A: Debris Removal
,
1994: Tornado
Category B: Emergency Protective Measures
4028: Tropical Storm Irene
Category C: Roads & Bridges
4051: Severe Storm, October 2011
Category D: Water Control Facilities
4097: Hurricane Sandy
Category E: Buildings & Equipment
Category G: Other (parks, rec. facilities, etc.)
Impact and need data by County for each disaster is contained in Appendix 4.
> Unmet Housing Needs
Table 4. Housing Impact
Disaster Declaration
# Destroyed
# Major Damage
# Minor Damage
# Affected
Total Impacted Housing Units
Tornado (#1994)
,
319
600
300
```



250 1,469 Tropical Storm Irene (#4028) , 84 246 43 67 440 403 846 343 , 317 , 1,909 SOURCES: FEMA, SBA Table 5. Housing Unmet Need Preliminary Damage Assessment (PDA) &ndash Housing Estimated Housing Damage: Disaster 1994 \$32,057,019 Estimated Housing Damage: Disaster 4028 \$ 9,601,830 Total Estimated Housing Damage \$41,658,849 Housing Assistance FEMA IA: #1994 \$ 3,015,224 FEMA IA: #4028 \$ 5,303,982 SBA Homeowner Assistance: #1994 \$11,369,100 SBA Homeowner Assistance: #4028 \$ 1,733,300 State Tornado-related Housing Rehabilitation Assistance



564.000 \$ Total Assistance Provided \$21,985,606 PDA Less Federal Assistance \$19.67324</t: SOU **Disaster Damage:** CES:FEMA, SB Sbsp: In May 2012, the Commonwealth awarded \$564,000, appropriated by the Massachusetts legislature, to the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission (PVPC) for the purpose of providing housing rehabilitation assistance to households in ten (10) Hampden and Worcester County communities severely affected by the tornadoes. Among the provisions of the program design were requirements that at least 60% of the funds benefit households with incomes that do not exceed 80% of AMI, and at least 85% of the funds benefit households with incomes that do not exceed 100% AMI. More detailed information regarding the program is contained in Appendix 2. **Unmet Economic Assistance Needs** Table 6. Storm **Business Assistance Requested** SBA Business Loans Provided **Unmet Need** Tornado (#1994) \$8,828,000 \$2.398.300 \$6,429,700 Tropical Storm Irene (#4028) \$1,025,000 \$854.700 \$170,300 TOTALS \$9,853,000 \$3,253,000 \$6,600,000 In many ways, the economic effects and full impacts of the designated disasters may never be known. As indicated above, SBA loans were provided in response to only two of the five disasters. No identifiable industry type was particularly affected by the disasters.



Business recovery funds and services from three regional agencies working to help businesses and their communities were made available in response to the same two disasters. The recovery funds are part of a \$600,000 grant from the federal Economic Development Administration to Common Capital, the Scibelli Enterprise Center (SEC), and the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission. The funds and services are available to businesses in Hampden, Hampshire, Franklin, and Berkshire counties severely affected by flooding and damage from the tropical storm and in tornado-damaged areas of Springfield, West Springfield, Brimfield, and Monson.

Common Capital provides \$500,000 towards the creation of a business recovery loan fund for repair and renovation of buildings and facades, landscaping, and infrastructure of businesses that were damaged by the storms. Funds also may be used to provide working capital for business recovery and business growth of existing businesses as well as new start-up businesses in the disaster recovery communities.

In addition to capital, businesses will also have access to mentoring services and space at the Scibelli Enterprise Center. Businesses can benefit from the advice and expertise of seasoned regional professionals and entrepreneurs committed to helping business owners succeed. Facilities, including the use of conference rooms, at the SEC may also be made available to the businesses as needed. The Pioneer Valley Planning Commission will also integrate business neds into regional disaster pr

Disaster Damage:

paredness and recovery planning.

>

METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

,

HUD allocated CDBG Disaster Recovery funds based on the best available Disaster Declaration impact and unetest.bpHUDrsquo;s alocation methodology is describedin Appendix A oftderal RegisterVolume 78, Number 103, Docket No. FR-5696-N-03. Overall, a total of \$29,106,000 has been allocated to assist Massachusetts. Hampden County is designated a &ldquomost impacted and distressed county&rdquo. A minimum of 80% of the grant funds must be expended in Hampden County and this is accomplished through the direct allocation of \$21,896,000 to the City of Springfield and the required use of \$1,388,800 in state-allocated funds.

The Commonwealth has been allocated \$7,210,000 in CDBG-DR funds. As noted above, \$1,388,800 of this amount must be obligated to projects in Hampden County and the balance &ndash \$5,821,200 &ndash is available for projects in the rest of the state. Consistent with program requirements, \$360,500 (5%) will be set aside for administrative purposes (\$69,440 for activities in Hampden County and \$291,060 for activities in the rest of the state).

Total funds available for activity costs (less administration) are \$1,319,360 for activities in Hampden County and \$5,530,140 in the rest of the state. Of this combined amount available for activities - \$6,849,500, at least fifty percent (50%) or \$3,424,750, must benefit low or moderate income persons. The remaining funds will be awarded to proposals that meet any of the three National Objectives.

Table 7 details the available amounts and requirements covered by this Action Plan.

Table 7.

Total Commonwealth Allocation

Amount designated for Hampden County

Amount available for the balance of MA counties

Amount available for administration

Minimum amount required to benefit LMI persons

\$7,210,000

\$1,388,800



\$5,821,200
\$360,500
, \$3,424,750
Eligible Counties and Applicants
, All units of general local government in Massachusetts are eligible to apply for CDBG-DR funds based on the named Presidential Disaster declarations.
As provided in Docket No. FR-5696-N-03, requirements of 42 U.S.C. 5306 are waived to the extent necessary to allow a state to use its disaster recovery grant allocation directly to carry out state-administered activities. DHCD reserves the right to distribute CDBG-DR funds to a state agency or to a direct sub-recipient of the state but does not anticipate doing so. Non-profit organizations serving LMI persons are also eligible direct sub-recipients.
, Every activity must meet one of the CDBG national objectives: Benefiting Low and Moderate Income Persons; Preventing or Eliminating Slums or Blight; and Meeting Urgent Needs.
Disaster Damage:
Limitation on Eligible Locations
Within Massachusetts, generally only projects and activities located outside of Coastal Barrier Resource System (CBRS) Units will be eligible for CDBG-DR funds, pursuant to HUD guidance provided March 19, 2013. Locations of CBRS Units are available on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service website, athttp://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html. Furthermore, no activity in an area delineated as a special flood hazard area or equivalent in FEMA&rsquos most recent and current data source will be eligibenls thacivtis dignd or modifieto minimize harm to or within thefloodplain. Atmnmmactions to miniize harm must include elevating or flood-proofing new construction and substantial improvements to one foot above the base flood elevation and otherwise acting in accordance with U.S. Executive Order 11988 and 24 CFR part 55.
Eligible Activities
The overall list of eligible CDBG activities is set forth by 42 U.S.C. 5305 and amended by FR- 5696-N-03.
, Examples of eligible activities include:
, §Constructing or rehabilitating public facilities such as streets, and water, sewer and drainage systems, government buildings, and neighborhood centers;
neighborhood centers;
neighborhood centers; , §Rehabilitation of homes and buildings damaged by the disaster; ,
neighborhood centers; , §Rehabilitation of homes and buildings damaged by the disaster; , §Purchase of damaged properties in a flood plain and relocating residents to safer areas; ,



§General administration costs (limited to no more than five (5) percent of the grant)

, HUD&rsquos Environmental Review Procedures and Floodplain Management regulations, codified at 24 CFR 58 and 24 CFR 55, respectively, apply.

CDBG-DR funds may be used for assisting LMI households with rehabilitation, reconstruction, mitigation, clearance and demolition activities to address unmet housing needs as a result of the declared disasters. Second homes, as defined in IRS Publication 936 (mortgage interest deductions), are not eligible for CDBG-DR funds.

Eligible Housing Activities include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Rehabilitation/reconstruction of existing LMI housing
- 2. Clearance and removal of debris on LMI properties, and adjacent properties
- 3. Demolition of structures on LMI properties

Public Facilities and Infrastructure Activities

CDBG funds may be used for projects that will repair, rehabilitate, or modify public infrastructure and facilities affected by the disaster. Examples include repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of water and sewer systems, streets, storm drainage, and public buildings (eligible public building

Disaster Damage:

Housing Activities

include structures for both citizen use and local government administration), and payment of non-federal share for emergency repairs.

Economic Recovery

CDGB-DR funds may be used for rehabilitation of small businesses, as defined by IRS Publication 936, which suffered physical damage to property or equipment due to the disaster. All economic recovery activities shall predominately benefit LMI persons, under the LMI Jobs National Objective.

Eligible Economic Recovery Activities include:

- Rehabilitation/reconstruction of existing businesses damaged during the declared disasters.
- 2. Replacement of fixed equipment damaged during the declared disasters.
- 3. Clearance and removal of debris resulting from the declared disasters.
- ${\it 4. Business \ relocation \ cost, \ purut to \ the Unifrme loation ct.nbsp;}$

Miigation activities are only allowedif they are necessaryto tfurheoperation of theusiness due to ongoing severe storm or flood danger, and can be qualified under the LMI Jobs National Objective. Funds will be distributed to units of general local government, which will then distribute funds to business owners.

Planning Activities

.



CDBG-DR funds may be used for the development of disaster recovery or hazard mitigation policies, plans, and capacity building. Disaster recovery planning includes mapping, specific comprehensive plan updates, zoning/building code ordinance revisions, floodplain/coastal hazard plans, recovery ordinances, coastal hazard studies directly related to impacts of the disaster, infrastructure and engineering studies necessary for disaster recovery and mitigation, and updating building requirements. All planning activities must relate to the one of the events covered by the Presidential Declarations.
•
Administration ,
, Grant administration, including grant administration by sub-recipients will include direct personnel expenses (salary and fringe benefits), direct and indirect expenses, equipment, consultants, and other operating expenses involved in selection, funding, assisting, and monitoring subgrantee projects, detailed quarterly reporting to DHCD and HUD, and documentation of adherence to all laws and regulations.
Project Selection
On August 2, 2013, DHCD requested letters of interest from units of general local government in Massachusetts counties affected by the events covered in the Presidential Declarations (see Appendix 3). Respondents were asked to submit a narrative describing each project and address specific questions used to determine eligibility. Twenty-seven (27) municipalities responded with requests for 32 projects with cost estimates exceeding the State&rsquos total CDBG-DR allocation.
, DHCD is responsible for verifying that each proposed project addresses the impacts of at least one declared disaster, fulfills at least one CDBG national objective and meets threshold and eligibility requiremens as articulated in the reques
Disaster Damage:
for Letters of Interest and federal regulations.
The Letters of Interest received by DHCD ultimately reflect the actual remaining need in communities affected by the designated disasters. A significant period of time has elapsed since many of the storm events damaged the Commonwealth and this has affected the identification and submission of projects. Just as initial estimates indicated the greatest expected need for infrastructure repairs, the Letters of Interest requested significantly greater amounts of funding to address unmet infrastructure needs than any other activity. No proposals were received, for example, for economic development or economic recovery activities. SBA data provided demonstrates emergency response activity in this subject area based on assistance as a result of two of the five declared disasters.
Information about the Letters of Interest received is included in Appendix 6.

In order to address this expression of need indicated by respondent cmmunitisthfactorsnd priritis thDepartmnt consderedn evaluating propoals include, but are not limited to, the following:

Thresod Crtera

-&bsp; Eligibility, National Objective and other regulatory requirements

- Feasibility
- Timeliness of project implementation
- Unmet need

High Priorities

- · Projects benefitting low and moderate income persons and fulfillment of low and moderate income National Objective funding level requirements
- · Projects addressing unmet needs in communities that have 51% or more low and moderate income residents



Infrastructure and public facilities activities that primarily address unmet, disaster-related conditions and that further community recovery

Moderate Priorities

Housing rehabilitation activities that primarily address unmet, disaster-related conditions

Infrastructure and public facilities activities that address general rehabilitation needs in addition to unmet, disaster-related conditions

Economic Development activities that primarily address unmet, disaster-related conditions

Low Priorities

Housing rehabilitation activities that address general rehabilitation needs in addition to unmet, disaster-related conditions

Projects located in jurisdictions already receiving CDBG-DR funds

&nsp; Multiple act

Disaster Damage:

vities in the same community (except in Hampden County)

Projects addressing conditions exacerbated by the named Declarations

Reimbursement for past expenditures

Geographic distribution

Eligible projects identified in the initial letters of interest have been divided into three categories. Category 1 projects are recommended for approval as part of the Action Plan. The projects are listed in Table 8; Appendix 5 contains summary descriptions of these projects. Before a contract can be executed by DHCD, complete application materials must be submitted online and reviewed for each Category 1 project. Upon approval of this Plan and the proposed projects, DHCD will provide further application guidance and assistance to communities.

Category 2 projects are those letters that propose Housing Rehabilitation activities. DHCD has funded significant levels of housing rehabilitation through its annual CDBG program in many communities. Several letters of interest have been received from communities that are recipients of CDBG housing rehabilitation fund. Asnicatebove, for CDBG-DRDHCDntendsto prioriize funding to husing rehabilitatioactivities that primarily address unmt, disaster-related conitions. The Categoryresondens will be requested to prvide additional information to ensure that the proposed rehabilitation activities are consistent with DHCD&rsquos priorities for CDBG-DR funded rehabilitation activities.

Several additional submissions (Category 3) expressed interest and identified potentially eligible activities of high or moderate priority but lacked sufficient detail to be recommended at this time. Additional information will be requested. All Category 2 and 3 respondents are listed in Tables 9 and 10.

The remaining letters of interest will remain in consideration during the time in which detailed applications are submitted and final determinations of eligible, feasibility and funding amounts are made. However, DHCD reserves the right to solicit additional letters of interest and proposals for projects consistent with the Department&rsquos CDBG-DR priorities and program requirements.

All projects must submit complete applications for review by DHCD prior to any award of funds. In addition to detailed descriptions of the proposed activities to be conducted, applicants must submit information normally required by and identified in applications to the state&rsquos CDBG Small Cities program including program design details, a management plan and other organizational materials to ensure that the grantee has the capacity, or has budgeted to provide the capacity, to effectively carry out the proposed activities.

In some case, the Letters of Interest received by DHCD included projects that did not meet eligibility requirements. Ineligible projects were not evaluated further. If a respondent submitted both eligible and ineligible projects, only the eligible projects were evaluated.



Disaster Damage:

If, at any time, DHCD determines that a project does not meet a national objective, or is otherwise ineligible for CDBG-DR funds, DHCD reserves the right to de-obligate and/or recapture funds. In reviewing a letter of interest and/or application and awarding a grant, the Commonwealth may eliminate or modify a proposed activity or modify proposed funding where it is determined that such changes are necessary to comply with program requirements, national objectives, and threshold requirements.

This Action Plan allocates \$3,638,739 to eligible activities. A minimum of 50% of the State&rsquos total allocation must be expended on activities primarily benefiting low and moderate income persons. Forty-four percent (44%) of the funds for the currently proposed projects are designated for activities primarily benefiting LMI persons. The remaining 56% is allocated to activities meeting either of the other National Objectives. In future substantial amendments to this Action Plan, DHCD will allocate CDBG-DR funds in proportion to the required activity levels for National Objectives, with approximately 60% designated for LMI projects and 40% to other National Objectives. A minimum of \$3,424,750 will ultimately be designated for LMI projects. The award of funds for activities in Hampden County must equal or exceed \$1,388,800 of the Commonwelth&rsquosCDG-DR gan. ThiAction Pan propses toward \$1,172085, or 3% of the Category 1 awards to Hampden County activities. Withinampden County, damage estmates and unmet needsn theormunites diectly affected by the 2011 tornado event exceeded impacts in other communities as a result of other declared disaster events. The remaining letters of interest submitted by municipalities and the proposed award of funds in this Action Plan generally reflect the higher concentration of impacts in communities affected by the two hurricane events in western and coastal communities. Table 8. Municipality County Project Name Project Type National Objective Project Cost Admin Cost Award Amount Adams Berkshire Charles Street Bridge Public Facilities LMI \$240,371 \$9,629 \$250,000



Ashfield

Franklin Smith Branch Road reconstruction Public Facilities Urgent Need \$368,026 \$6,974 \$375,000 Buckland , Franklin , Clesson Brook Rd. Bridge rehabilitation Public Facilities **Urgent Need** , \$570,000 , \$30,000 , \$600,000 Chester Hampden/p> Hamp **Disaster Damage:** en Street water main replacement Public Facilities LMI \$286,980 , 15,105 \$302,085 Fairhaven Bristol Union Wharf Building Public Facilities Slums & Blight , \$143,750 \$6,250 , \$150,000 Monson Hampden Park Road Drainage Project



Public Facilities

Urgent Need \$120,000 , \$0 , \$120,000 Northbridge Worcester Rockdale Youth Center Public Facilities Urgent Need \$571,654 , \$30,000 \$601,654 , Savoy Berkshire Black Brook Rd. design Public Facilities design Urgent Need , \$167,500 \$7,500 \$175,000 West Springfield Hampden Union Street Park Public Facilities LMI \$712, 500 , \$37,500 \$750,000 Williamstown Berkshire Southworth St. extension

, LMI

, \$300,000

Public facilities

, \$15,000

, \$315,000



, Total \$3,483,781 \$154,958 \$3,638,739 Category 1 Projects Table 9. Category 2 Projects Municipality County Project Name Project Type , Ashfield Franklin Regional Housing Rehabilitation Program Housing , Hubbardston Worcester Housing Rehabilitation program Housing/p> strong&t;p>Noron HousingAuthorit- Woodlandseadows draiage /p> , Housin Rockland



Plymouth

p>Housing Rehabilitaion Housig p>ebster/p> Worcestr Housing Rehabilitation Housing Monson Hampden Regional Housing Rehabilitation Program Housing Table 10. Category 3 Projects Municipality , Pro **Disaster Damage:** ect Name Project Type National Objective Colrain Berkshire Town Highway Garage Reconstruction Public Facilities LMI Holland Hampden Over the Top Road drainage project Public Facilities **Urgent Need** Performance Reporting The Department will use HUD-provided spreadsheets for tracking both project and financial performance of the CDBG-DR assistance activities underway. Updated spreadsheets will be available on the DHCD CDBG-DR webpage at:



,
,
,
http://www.mass.gov/hed/community/funding/community-development-block-grant-disaster-recoveryhtml
,
Planning and Coordination
·
,
,
The Department coordinated the collection of disaster-related information regarding needs and conditions from a variety of sources – regional planning agencies, the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA), the Division of Public Housing – and will continue to consult with these entities to ensure that funded CDBG-DR activities are consistent with local and regional planning efforts otherwise in effect in communities.
,
,
,
, Construction Methods
,
,
All activities involving construction or rehabilitation will be required to meet building codes and standards adopted and enforced by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, as well as local ordinances that exceed state codes and standards.
,
,
All construction will be encouraged to be designed to achieve maximum energy efficiency to the extent that this can be accomplished on a cost-effective basis, considering construction and operating costs over the life cycle of the structure.
,
,
,
In order to better ensure a sustainable long-term recovery, sub-recipients must elevate (or may, for certain non-residential structures, flood proof) new construction and substantially improved structures to 1) one foot higher than the latest Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) issued base flood elevation, including any applicable FEMA advisory base flood elevations, or 2) the elevation required by Massachusetts state building code, whichever method is higher.
,
,
,
, Refer to the section on Eligible Locations for restrictions on activities in special flood hazard areas and the Coastal Barrier Resource System.



```
These requirements will be enforced through the following steps:
requirements shall be outlined in grant agreements with sub-recipients;/p>,
sub-recipientshall be
Disaster Damage:
obliateinclude reqirements indesign, construction, anremediatin subcontracts,,
ub-recipients sall monitor compliance in conjunction witlocal building officils;
</>
Additional Residential Construction Requirements
For residential buildings (including single family and multifamily), all new construction and replacement of substantially damaged buildings
must meet one of the following industry recognized Green Building Standards:
ENERGY STAR (Certified Homes or Multifamily High Rise);
Enterprise Green Communities;
LEED (NC, Homes, Midrise, Existing Buildings O&M, or Neighborhood Development);
ICC&ndash700 National Green Building Standard;
EPA Indoor AirPlus (ENERGY STAR a prerequisite);
  6.
any other equivalent comprehensive green building program
```



Rehabilitation of non-substantially damaged residential buildings must apply all applicable measures on the HUD CPD Green Building Retrofit Checklist, available at
, http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/communitydevelopment/programs/drsi/afwa.
,
,
, ,
Provision of Disaster Resistant Housing for All Income Groups
,
,
DHCD has received limited requests for assistance to public housing authorities and transitional housing affected by the declared disasters and no housing activities are proposed in this partial Action Plan. Should the Plan be amended to include housing activities, proposals will be required to address how the requested activities: support the needs of families that are homeless or at risk becoming homeless; prevent low-income individuals and families with children from becoming homeless; and, the special needs of persons who are not homeless but require supportive housing. As long as funds are available, the Department will support all viable proposals for public housing, HUD-assisted housing, McKinney-Vento funded shelters, housing for the homeless, and other affordable housing units meeting the LMI Housing National Objective and applicable State affordability restrictions.
, and the second se
,
,
DHCD encourages the provision of housing for all income groups that is disaster-resistant through the programs and activities identified in the Commonwealth&rsquos Consolidated Plan 2010-2014, including the Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Program, and the Neighborhood Stabilization Program.
,
, and the second se
, Housing and individual needs continue to be met through the FEMA Individual AssistanceProgram, through volunteer activities and other service organizations, and through the numerousContinuums of Care provided by local Community Action Programs and non-profit organizations.
,
,
,
Displacement
,
, &nbp
, ,
,
The
Disaster Damage:
rojects proposed in this Action Plan do not result in any displacement of persons or entities.
,
,
,



Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
,
,
,
, In January 2014, DHCD completed work on its latest Analysis of Impediments to Fair Houing Choic(AI).&asypnsp;ThDepartment loks forward to working wih a numberf partners in adressing the ipedimentsiscussed in the A.
,
,
, DHCD&rsuos AI now availableonline at:http://www.mass.gov/hed/docs/dhcd/hd/fair/2013analysis.pdf.
,
,
,
, This DRGR Action Plan currently contains no housing activities. A discussion of the relationship between housing activities and the impediments identified in the AI will be contained in future amendments.
,
,
,
,
,
·
· ,
•
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
, CITIZEN PARTICIPATION



,
,
,
, Outreach Efforts
,
,
,
, DHCD invited representatives of local governments and other interested parties to an information session regarding the CDBG-DR program. The invitation is contained in the program announcement (see Appendix 5). The Meeting was held at Union Station in Worcester on Tuesday, August 13, 2013.
,
,
,
, A request for Letters of Interest (see Appendix 5) was sent via email on August 2, 2013 to representatives of each eligible municipality and other interested parties. The Letters of Interest directly identified the activities described in this Action Plan.
,
Public Comments and Notification
,
,
,
, DHCD has posted its draft Action Plan for the use of the CDBG-DR funds, and will post any substantial amendment to the plan online athttp://www.mass.gov/dhcdfor a period of not less than seven (7) calendar days; public comments will be accepted during this time. Adequate notification will be given to local and regional planning commissions, units of local government, and public housing authorities.
,
,
,
,
ACTION PLAN AMENDMENTS
,
,
·
This document is a partial Action Plan. The balance of the Commonwealth&rsquos CDBG-DR allocation will be obligated in amendments to this Action Plan. Substantial amendments will be subject to the Citizen Participation process described below.
,
,



, Amendment of the Plan
Amendment of the Plan
,
,
,
,
` <u> </u>
Criteria for Amendment of the Plan
Chiefa di Amerianient di the Filan
,
, Should DHCD determine that the plan or any of its elements should undergo significant revision or change, the following criteria will be used to
define &ldquosubstantial change:”
domino di diquocassiani ila oriango anaquo
,
,
,
,
,
' •
Discontinuance or addition of activities or programs included in this Plan
Discontinuation of addition of activities of programs included in this real
,
`•.
Redefinition of the number and type of program beneficiaries in the Plan
`•.
The number of people estimated to benefit from a program falls below the minimum number stated in the Plan
,
,
,
,
,
•,
Amendments to the Consolidated Plan, Notice and Opportunity for Comment
,
,
If an amendment to the Action Plan becomes necessary, the same notice and comment periods will be followed as were followed with the
development of the Plan. A summaryof comments received willbe attached tothe substantial amendment of the Plan. A summary ofthe
comments and Department responses willbe attached to the substantial amendment ofthe Action Plan.
,
,
,
,
Recovery Needs:
-
, ADDENDICES
APPENDICES
,
Appendix 1: Cortifications
Appendix 1: Certifications



Disaster Damage:

, Appendix 2:	Disaster Impact Narratives
Appendix 3:	Disaster Declaration Maps
, Appendix 4:	Impact and Need Data by County
, Appendix 5:	Request for Letters of Interest
Appendix 6:	Submitted Projects List
Appendix 7:	Proposed Project Activity Descriptions
, Appendix 8:	Public Notice of Action Plan Comment Period and Response to Comments
, Appendix 9:	Program Income, Monitoring Standards and Detection of Fraud, Waste and Abuse
,	
,	
,	
,	
Appendix 1:	Certifications
,	
, 24 CFR 91.225 a certifications with ,	and 91.325 are waived. Each State or UGLG receiving a direct allocation under the Notice must make the following n its Action Plan.
fair housing choi analysis, and ma	tee certifies that it will affirmatively further fair housing, which means that it will conduct an analysis to identify impediments to ce within its jurisdiction and take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that aintain records reflecting the analysis and actions in this regard (see 24 CFR 570.487(b)(2) and 570.601(a)(2)). In addition, that agreements with subrecipients will meet all civil rights related requirements pursuant to 24 CFR 570.503(b)(5).
	ree certifies that it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan in connection assisted with funding under the CDBG program.
, c. The grant by part 87.	ee certifies its compliance with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required
	tee certifies that the Action Plan for Disaster Recovery is authorized under State and local law (as applicable) and that the γ entity or entities designated by the grantee, possess(es) the legal authority to carry out the program for which it is seekingu
Recovery Nee	eds:
ding, in accordan	nce with applicable HUD regulations and this Notice.

- f. The grantee certifies that it will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the URA, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24, except where waivers or alternative requirements are provided for in this Notice.
- g. The grantee certifies that it will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135.



,
h. The grantee certifies that it is following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR 91.105 or 91.115, as applicable (except as provided for in notices providing waivers and alternative requirements for this grant). Also, each UGLG receiving assistance from a State grantee must follow a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR 570.486 (except as provided for in notices providing waivers and alternative requirements for this grant).
i. Each State receiving a direct award under this Notice certifies that it has consulted with affected UGLGs in counties designated in covered major disaster declarations in the non-entitlement, entitlement, and tribal areas of the State in determining the uses of funds, including method of distribution of funding, or activities carried out directly by the State.
, j. The grantee certifies that it is complying with each of the following criteria:
, (1) Funds will be used solely for necessary expenses related to disaster relief, long-term recovery, restoration of infrastructure and housing, and economic revitalization in the most impacted and distressed areas for which the President declared a major disaster in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy, pursuant to the Stafford Act.
, (2) With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG-DR funds, the Action Plan has been developed so as to give the maximum feasible priority to activities that will benefit low- and moderate-income families.
, (3) The aggregate use of CDBG-DR funds shall principally benefit low- and moderate-income families in a manner that ensures that at least 50 percent of the grant amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons.
, (4) The grantee will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG-DR grant funds, by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low- and moderate-income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condti
Recovery Needs:
n of obtaining access to such public improvements, unless: (a) disaster recovery grant funds are used to pay the proportion of such fee or assessment that relates to the capital costs of such public improvements that are financed from revenue sources other than under this title; or (b) for purposes of assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of moderate income, the grantee certifies to the Secretary that it lacks sufficient CDGunsinanfrmtcmpyitteeqirmetsofclus().>amp;nbp;>.nbs;nbs;nbs;nbs;nbs;ampamnbp&bspThe grantee certifies that it (and any subrecipient or recipient)) will conduct and carry out the grant in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d) and the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3601&ndash3619) and implementing regulations.
The grantee certifies that it has adopted and is enforcing the following policies. In addition, States receiving a direct award must certify that they will require UGLGs that receive grant funds to certify that they have adopted and are enforcing:
, (1) A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in nonviolent civil rights demonstrations; and ,
, (2) A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location that is the subject of such nonviolent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.
m. Each State or UGLG receiving a direct award under this Notice certifies that it (and any subrecipient or recipient) has the capacity to carry out disaster recovery activities in a timely manner; or the State or UGLG will develop a plan to increase capacity where such capacity is lacking.



n.

The grantee will not use grant funds for any activity in an area delineated as a special flood hazard area or equivalent in FEMA&rsquos

most recent and current data source unless it also ensures that the action is designed or modified to minimize harm to or within the floodplain in accordance with Executive Order 11988 and 24 CFR part 55. The relevant data source for this provision is the latest issued FEMA data or guidance, which includes advisory data (such as Advisory Base Flood Elevations) or preliminary and final Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
o. The grantee certifies that its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of 24 CFR part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, and R.
p. The grantee certifies that it will comply with applicable laws.
q. The grantee certifies that it has reviewed the requirements of this Notice and requirements of Public Law 113-2 applicable to funds allocated by this Notice, and that it has in place proficient financial controls and procurement processes and has established adqua
Recovery Needs:
e procedures to prevent any duplication of benefits as defined by section 312 of the Stafford Act, to ensure timely expenditure of funds, to maintain comprehensive websites regarding all disaster recovery activities assisted with these funds, and to detect and prevent waste, fraud, and abuse of funds.
,
,
,

, Aaron Gne,rrayasymp;nbs;asymp;am;s;&amnbsp&s&nbs&nbs&nbp&nsp&nsp&bsp&bspnbsp;amp;nbsp&nbs&nbsasymp;nspnbsp; Date
, Massachusetts Department of Department of Housing and Community Development
,
,
,
,
,
Appendix 2: Disaster Impact Narratives
FEMA Disaster 1959: Severe Winter Storm 1/11/11 – 1/12/11 (Berkshire, Essex, Hampden, Hampshire, Middlesex, Norfolk & Suffolk Counties)
,
,
Impact
A severe winter storm resulting in record snowfall began in the early evening hours of January 11, 2011 and continued with significant accumulation through January 12, 2011. The most significant impacts were the record snowfall rates and snowfall totals in the affected counties of Berkshire, Essex, Hampden, Hampshire, Middlesex, Norfolk, Suffolk, and Worcester. This resulted in the four (4) major highway systems being almost impassable for 24 hours. High winds brought down trees and power lines, causing over 227,087 power outages statewide. Six local shelters opened in response to the power outages. The storm&rsquos impact also disrupted the state&rsquos infrastructure system, including the cancellation of service at numerous local and regional train and bus lines, three regional airports, and airline service at Logan International Airport. Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (MBTA) Commuter Rail lines had significant delays and shutdowns and the MBTA Boat service was suspended throughout the 24 hour period. More than 600 schools throughout the state were closed. State offices were closed on January 12 because of the effect of the storm on travel. Twenty-six emergency operation centers were activated and 18 local states of emergency were declared. The preliminary public damage estimate was \$19,867,550.



Response

The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) mobilized over 3,900 pieces of equipment in an effort to clear roadways. MassDOT deployed crews, snowplows and trucks across the state to remove snow through plowing, de-icing, salting and sanding of roadways and other facilities. Local government also responded in a similar way. At the peak of the storm, tandem trailers and propane tankers were restricted from travel on Massachusets T

Recovery Needs:

rnpike (I-90) and the speed limit for all vehicles was reduced to 40 mph. A state of emergency was declared by Governor Deval L. Patrick at 12:00 pm on January 12, 2011. Numerous State agencies and organizations reported to the State Emergency Operations Center to provide personnel and resources to alleviate the impacts of the disaster. In addition, the 255 communities in the eight severely impacted counties allocated substantial public safety, public works and emergency management resources.

FEMA Disaster 1994: Tornado 6/1/11 (Hampden & Worcester Counties)

Impact

Three tornadoes (one EF3 and two EFI) and destructive thunderstorms traversed a significant portion of Western and Central Mas1amp;bsp;Acordigo tNatinaleatherervice,he strogest ofhe thretornados had amaximumindspeeof 160mph andwidthf one-hamieittuched down in the City of Westfield (Hampden County) and traveled eastward for approximately 39 miles before lifting in the Town of Charlton. The tornadoes were responsible for three deaths and over 300 injuries. Violent winds caused damage in two dozen communities. Preliminary damage assessments determined that at least 319 homes were destroyed and another 600 suffered major damage, almost 300 suffered minor damage and another 250 residences were impacted. The preliminary damage estimate to individuals and households was \$8,029,191, and to local governments and private non-profits for emergency work or the replacement of facilities that were damaged was \$24,782,299.

On June 3, 2011, Federal, State and local officials surveyed the area and began preliminary damage assessments. The most severe impacts were to public facilities and private residences and businesses in Hampden County and the Towns of Sturbridge and Southbridge in Worcester County. Hundreds of homes were completely destroyed, leaving thousands homeless. The impacts also included hundreds of unsafe public, private and commercial/residential buildings, uprooted trees, and vast amounts of debris and damage to vegetation. Numerous schools were completely or partially destroyed and some remained closed for the remainder of the school year. The Town of Monson lost its Police Station and Town Hall, compromising their ability to perform administrative and public safety functions. The Town&rsquos only grocery store was closed for three months, leaving many who did not have means of travelling to another community without food supplies.

In Worcester County there was tremendous localized impact to the Towns of Southbridge and Sturbridge. Southbridge hosts a regional airport, a regional hospital, multiple state agencies and a Community College. The impact of the tornado compromised the town&rsquos ability to serve as a regional hub for these entities. Main roadways were blocked for days in Southbridge, 79 buildings were impacted and a 56 unit residential apartment building was lost. The Southbridge Municipal Airport had major damage, including the destruction of 12 hangar spaces.

The path of destruction had similar results in neighboring Sturbridge. There was substantial damage to both private and public property, including fallen trees, loss of power, telephone, and cable and Internt ser

Recovery Needs:

ice. Public property suffered substantial damage. The security perimeter and access to the Stallion Hill Water Tank, the Sturbridge Cooperative Nursery School building, recreational fields, Recreation Department storage shed and equipment, the access road, perimeter and electrical system at Well #3 all were damaged by high wind and fallen trees.

Response

A state of emergency was declared by Governor Deval L. Patrick at on June 1, 2011. FEMA, state agencies, municipalities and volunteer groups mobilized immediately to coordinate and implement debris removal, emergency response, infrastructure repairs, damage assessments and other response efforts. The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency coordinated requests for assistance and dispersed personnel and commodities to the affected areas. The Massachusetts DepartmefsaosOTicsforroad cearingheavequipmnt toocal cmmniies anassited with debiarace and damage assessment at Southbridge Municipal Airport. Massachusetts State and Environmental Police provided specialty units and personnel to help with law enforcement and aerial surveillance as requested by local communities. The National Guard activated 600 troops to support critical logistical and security missions including providing firefighting support, law enforcement, and wellness checks and operating Chicopee Armory as a distribution point for supplies. The Department of Public Safety coordinated and performed over 1,400 structural building inspections in impacted communities. Crews were provided by the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation and removed debris to allow emergency access to critical facilities and supplied a generator to Brimfield in support of a town shelter. The Commonwealth&rsquos primary emergency telephone call center received over 1,300 storm related calls. Three storm assistance centers were opened in Springfield, Monson, and Southbridge to consolidate all available services to meet the needs of local residents.

In May, 2012, the Commonwealth awarded \$564,000, appropriated by the Massachusetts legislature, to the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission (PVPC) for the purpose of providing housing rehabilitation assistance to households in ten (10) Hampden and Worcester County communities targeting the most severely affected communities: Agawam, Brimfield, Charlton, Monson, Southbridge, Springfield, Sturbridge, Westfield, West Springfield, and Wilbraham. Funds were targeted to those homeowners, including owner-occupied and rental units; single family and multi-family, affordable and market rate units that were affected by the storm. At least 60% of the funds were required to benefit households whose income did not exceed 80% of the median income, up to 25% of these funds could benefit household whose income fell between 80% and 100% of the median income and 15% of the funds had no income restriction. Requests were prioritized according to need with high priority for those homeowners who needed assistance that would allow them to re-occupy their homes. The next priority was directed



at those who needed to remove a health of safety issue within the primary residence, and the lowest priority was for residents that needed help to eliminate a health or safety issue on their property.

The table below is a summary of that assistance to date.

Recovery Needs:

>TORNADO RESPONSE HOUSING REHABILITATION PROGRAM Municipality Funds Committed # of Households Low Medium High Agawam 7,900 , 1 7,900 0 0 Brimfield 86,398 , 8 63,898 22,500 Charlton 15,355 15,355 0



0

, Monson , 57,737 , 6 , 42,737 , 15,000 , 0 , Southbridge , 57,060 , 5 , 27,060 , 30,000 , 0 , Springfield , 132,534 , 14 , 104,584 , 27,950 , 0 Sturbridge , 4,919 lt&m;;,9&ay;nb;p&t; , Wstfildlt/ap;gt , 0 , 0 0 0 , West Springfield



, 35,905 , 4 , 35,905 , 0 , 0 Wilbraham , 81,831 , 9 , 43,440 , 38,391 , 0 , TOTAL \$ 479,639 , \$ 340,879 , \$111,341 \$27,419 , 71.1%



, 23.22% , 5.72% , , , (Source: Pioneer Valley Planning Commission Summary Report)

, FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene 8/27/11 &ndash 8/29/11 (Barnstable, Berkshire, Bristol, Dukes, Franklin, Hampden, Hampshire, Norfolk & Plymouth Counties)

, Impact

Hurricane Irene, a Category I hurricane that crossed Massachusetts on August 27&ndash28, 2011, resulted in one death and other 100 injuries. Preliminary damagessessme

Recovery Needs:

ts determined that at least 84 homes were destroyed and another 246 suffered major damage, 43 suffered minor damage and another 67 residences were impacted. The preliminary damage estimate to individuals and households was \$9,601,830 and to local governments and private non-profits for emergency work or the replacement of facilities, including significant damage to roads and bridges that were impacted, was \$25,085,077.

There was major damage in Berkshire and Franklin Counties, including at least 198 homes in the Berkshire County town of Williamstown. The storm and associated severe flooding washed out numerous roads and bridges, completely isolating whole communities. Trees and power lines were also knocked down and caused over 670,000 utility customers to lose power statewide and damaged critical infrastructure. In addition to the tropical storm force winds, according to the National Weather Service, over 9 inches of rain fell in parts of Berkshire County which caused severe flooding of the Hoosic River in the Town of Williamstown. Franklin County received nearly 10 inches of rain, causing several rivers to reach record flood levels, which caused major flooding and bridge washouts in surrounding communities. The flood waters inundated water and waste water facilities and septic systems, dislodged propane and gas tanks, contaminating much of the flood water and homes affected by the flood waters.

Preliminary damage assessments indicated that the most severe impacts were to the public facilities and private residences and businesses in Berkshire and Franklin Counties. The impacts included hundreds of unsafe public, private and commercial/residential buildings, uprooted trees, washed out roads and bridges and damage to vegetation. Hundreds of home had wind and flooding damage. Numerous schools were similarly damaged, which delayed the start of school. The communities of Williamstown, Becket and North Adams sufferemiantamge.nbs;InWillmstw, 198mauacdhoewe dredyood waterndringmot othem uninhabitable. The City of North Adams suffered severe flooding in homes and the failure of many septic systems. The Town of Colrain suffered major damage to water well supplies, the Town of Hadley&rsquos Highway Department building&rsquos foundation was washed away.

Response

A state of emergency was declared by Governor Deval L. Patrick on August 26, 2011. FEMA, state agencies, municipalities and volunteer groups mobilized immediately to coordinate and implement debris removal, emergency response, infrastructure repairs, damage assessments and other response efforts. The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) coordinated requests for assistance and dispersed personnel and commodities to the affected areas. The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) provided crews for road clearing, heavy equipment to local communities and assisted with debris clearance and damage assessments. Massachusetts State and Environmental Police provided specialty units and personnel to help with law enforcement, rescue operation, and aerial surveillance as requested by local communities. The National Guard activated 2,500 troops to assist in traffic control, road clearing, emergency road construction and well-being checks. The Department of Public Safety coordinated and performed hundredsof struc

Recovery Needs:

ural building inspections in impacted communities. Crews were provided by the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) and removed debris to allow emergency access to critical facilities, inspected numerous bridges, and supplied generators. The Commonwealth&rsquos primary emergency telephone call center received over 5,000 storm related calls.

FEMA Disaster 4051: Severe Winter Storm 10/29/11 &ndash 10/30/11 (Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire, Hampden, Middlesex & Worcester Counties)

Impact

A severe winter storm beginning on October 29 and ending on October 30, 2011, resulted in record snowfall in Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden, Hampshire, Middlesex and Worcester counties. As a result of the storm, up to 32 inches of heavy wet snow fell; damaging wind gusts of up to



70 mph and freezing temperatures caused significant tree and power line damage. There were widespread power outages that took days and in some cases weeks to restore. Nearly 700,000 residences and businesses were without power, forcing as many as 2,000 residents to seek housing in shelters. There were six storm related deaths.

Response

A state of emergency for the entire Commonwealth was declared by Governor Deval L. Patrick on October 29, 2011. The preliminary damage estimate was \$19,647,627. The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) coordinated requests for assistance and dispersed 60 private tree crews and procured emergency generators for critical facilities. The National Guard activated nearly 400 troops to assist in debris clearing, shelter support, law enforcement and well-being checks. Crews and heavy equipment were provided by the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) to clear trees and debris. The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDO) proveeaeqpfooadclerig. MacetEvironmental Polce egagn serchandescueperations. &l/>/p&;

FEMA Disaster 4097: Hurricane Sandy 10/27/12 &ndash 11/8/12 (Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Nantucket, Plymouth & Suffolk Counties)

Impact

Hurricane Sandy, a Category 1 hurricane, impacted the Commonwealth with significant storm surge, torrential rain and damaging tropical storm force winds. Coastal gusts of 65-80 mph resulted in major power losses and evacuations of coastal communities. The coastline experienced a storm surge resulting in flooding and extensive damage to roads, beaches and coastal facilities. More than 80 communities declared local states of emergency. The main pier in Oak Bluffs was completely destroyed and the docking facilities that service the commercial fishing fleet and ferries on Nantucket had significant damage. The tourism industry and commercial shellfish industry were greatly impacted. There was significant beach erosion and damage to private homes, businesses, infrastructure, public facilities and sea walls. Preliminary damage assessments conducted by FEMA, MEMA and local communities estimated the damage in Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Nantucket, Plymouth, and Suffolk counties to exeed \$22.2

Recovery Needs:

million.

Response

A state of emergency for the entire Commonwealth was declared by Governor Deval L. Patrick on October 27, 2012. The National Guard activated 1,400 troops for debris clearance, transportation, search and rescue missions and to perform well-being checks. All non-emergency state offices were closed. The Massachusetts Department of Public Safety provided staff and vehicles to assess damage. The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MDOT) provided crews and heavy equipment for road clearing. The Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) monitored dams with structural concerns, and provided personnel and heavy equipment to assist with debris removal. Massachusetts State and Environmental Police provided specialty units, personnel and boats to help with law enforcement and rescue operations. The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) coordinated requests for assistance and dispersed personnel and commodities to the affected areas.

Appendix 3: Disaster Declaration Maps

Note: No map available for Massachusetts Severe Winter Storm and Snowstorm (DR-1959)

Incident period:January 11, 2011toJanuary 12, 2011

Major Disaster Declaration declared onMarch 7, 2011



```
Appendix 4:
               Impact and Need Data by County
FEMA 1959: Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (all categories)
Category
,
Berkshire
Essex
Hampden
Hampshire
Middlesex
Norfolk
Suffolk</&amamam;gt
Apt;$0/p>
$0
,
$
&ltgt;$0/p>
g;p>$0$282,000
$30,000
,
$0
,
$312,000
,
В
$668,714
$2,784,034
$1,878,397
,
$586,900
$4,964,451
$2,331,696
$3,297,707
$3,033,651
$19,545,550
,
C
```



\$0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 , D **\$**0 **\$**0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 , \$0 \$0 , \$0 , E , \$0 , \$0 \$0 **Recovery Needs:**

\$0 , \$0 , \$10,000 , \$0 , \$10,000 , F , \$0 , \$0 ,



, \$0

```
$0
$0
,
$0
$0
Ġ
$0
$0
$0
$0
,
$0
$0
$0
$0
,
$0
TOTALS
$668,714
$2,784,034
$1,878,397
$586,900
$4,964,451
$2,623,696
$3,327,707
$3,033,651
$19,867,550
FEMA 1959: Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (Permanent Work Only)
Category
,
Berkshire
Essex
Hampden
Hampshire
Middlesex
```



Norfolk Suffolk , Worcester TOTALS , C **\$**0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 \$0 , \$0 , \$0 , D \$0 , \$0 \$0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 \$0 , \$0 , E , \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 , \$0 , \$0 , \$10,000 , \$0 **\$**0 , \$10,000 , F , \$0



\$0 \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 **\$**0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Ġ , \$0 **\$**0 \$0 **\$**0 , \$0 , \$0 \$0 **\$**0 \$0 , TOTALS , \$0 , \$0 **\$**0 \$0 , \$0 , \$10,000 , \$0 , \$0 \$10,000 FEMA 1994 Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (all categories) Category



Recovery Needs:

```
Worcester
,
TOTALS
,
A
$8,396,615
$397,670
$8,794,285
,
В
$5,143,552
,
$167,766
,
$5,311,318
Ċ
$85,000
$28,105
,
$113,105
,
D
$48,051
,
$0
,
$48,051
,
E
$10,006,500
$15,770
$10,022,270
$257,124
$4,000
,
$261,124
,
G
,
$58,618
,
$0
,
$58,618
,
TOTALS
$23,995,460
$613,311lt;/>&;gt;4,08,771ap;ttgt;8723;nbp<>&nbsp
<g;p&g;lt;g;&t;
```



,

FEMA 4028 Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (all categories) Category Berkshire Franklin , TOTALS , А \$0 \$2,836,400 \$2,836,400 , В \$150,000 \$1,030,100 \$1,180,100 Ċ \$2,119,000 , \$7,850,677 \$9,969,677 Ď , \$0 \$9,026,500 \$9,026,500 , E \$0 **\$**0 \$0 , F \$0 \$2,037,400 \$2,037,400 , G \$0 \$35,000 , \$35,000 TOTALS



\$2,269,000

```
$22,816,077
$25,085,077
FEMA 1994 Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (Permanent Work Only)
Category
Hampden
Worcester
TOTALS
,
C
$85,000
$28,105
$113,105
$48,051
,
$0
,
$48,051
Ë
$10,006,500
,
$15,770
$10,022,270
,
F
$257,124
$4,000
$261,124
,
G
,
$58,618
,
$0
,
$58,618
TOTALS
$10,455,293
$47,875
,
$10,503,168
```



,
,
,
FEMA 4028 Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimaes (Permanent Work Only)

Recovery Needs:

rong>

Category

Berkshire

Franklin

TOTALS

, C

\$2,119,000

\$7,850,677

, \$9,969,677

, D

, \$0

\$9,026,500

\$9,026,500

E

\$0

\$0

\$0

, F

\$0

\$2,037,400

, \$2,037,400

, G

, \$0

\$35,000

, \$35,000

TOTALS

\$2,119,000

\$18,949,577

\$21,068,577



FEMA 4051: Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (all categories) Category Berkshire Essex Franklin Hampden Hampshire Middlesex Norfolk Worcester TOTALS , А , \$175,329 \$319,239 \$818,680 \$38,731,508 , \$2,986,220 , \$6,602,378 \$794,534 \$5,267,800 \$55,695,688 , В \$325,037 \$501,207 \$251,711 \$2,250,827 \$818,593 \$1,465,903



```
$1,403,383
$2,314,275
$9,330,936
,
C
,
$0
$0
,
$0
,
$30,000
,
$0
,
$50,500
$0
$1,000
,
$81,500
,
D
,
$0
$0/p>
,
$5,00
,
<&m;a;g&tg;,0$p>p>$283,20/>/p>,
p>pgt&lt/&g;
$0
$9,608
,
$67,000
,
$141,000
,
$0
$113,500
$0
$14,500
$345,608
,
F
,
$0
$0
$300
$48,000
,
$0
,
$365,357
,
$0
,
$50,000
```



```
,
$463,657
Ġ
,
$0
$0
$12,278,000
$30,200
$74,220
,
$0
$7,500
$12,389,920
TOTALS
$500,366
$830,054
p>$1,137,691
Recovery Needs:
trong>
$53,531,835
$3,860,513
,
$8,836,858
,
$2,197,917
$7,695,275
$78,590,509
FEMA 4051: Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (Permanent Work Only)
Category
Berkshire
Essex
Franklin
Hampden
```



Hampshire , Middlesex

, Norfolk

, Worcester

, TOTALS

, C

> , \$0

, \$0

, \$0

, \$30,000

, \$0

\$50,500

, \$0

, \$1,000

, \$81,500

, D

, \$0

\$0

\$52,500

\$25,500

, \$165,000

, \$0

\$40,200

\$283,200

, E

, \$0

, \$9,608

\$67,000

\$141,000

, \$0

, \$113,500

, \$0

, \$14,500

\$345,608

, F

, \$0



\$0

, \$300

, \$48,000

, \$0

, \$365,357

\$0

\$50,000

, \$463,657

, G

, \$0

\$0

\$12,278,000

, \$30,200

, \$74,220

, \$0

\$7,500

\$12,389,920

, TOTALS

, \$0

, \$9,608

\$67,300

\$12,549,500

\$55,700

, \$768,577

, \$0

, \$113,200

\$13,563,885

,

,

,

,



FEMA 4097: Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (all categories) Category Barnstable Bristol Dukes , Nantucket ,Plymouth **Recovery Needs:** p> Suffolk TOTALS , A , \$319,533 \$772,440 \$317,250 \$2,136 \$458,780 \$227,326 \$2,097,465 , В \$237,199 \$690,589 \$114,900 , \$30,581 \$528,124



\$650,936 \$2,252,329 , C \$103,000 \$47,691 \$1,000 \$10,000 \$27,000 , \$1,772 , \$190,463 , D \$137,15 <>349/stroggt;</&asympttonamp;gtl/#823/pt; , \$0> \$289,717 **\$**0 , \$433,171 Ë \$90,545 \$118,690 , \$0 **\$**0 \$214,546 \$5,793,000 \$6,216,781 , F \$105,789 , \$564,133 \$30,000 , \$0 , \$135,067 \$25,000 \$859,989 , G \$179,500 \$30,123



\$9,723,342 \$127,000 \$72,135 \$27,000 \$10,159,100 TOTALS \$1,172,671 \$2,230,015 , \$10,186,492 , \$169,717 \$1,725,369 \$6,725,034 \$22,209,298 FEMA 4097: Public Assistance Preliminary Damage Estimates (Permanent Work Only) Category Barnstable Bristol Dukes Nantucket Plymouth , Suffolk TOTALS Ċ , \$103,000 , \$47,691 \$1,000 \$10,000 \$27,000 \$1,772 \$190,463



D \$137,105 \$6,349 , \$0 , \$0 \$289,717 , \$0 , \$433,171 , E , \$90,545 , \$118,690 , \$0 , \$0 \$214,546 \$5,793,000 \$6,216,781 , F \$105,789 \$564,133 \$30,000 , \$0 \$135,067 \$25,000 \$859,989 , G , \$179,500 , \$30,123 \$9,723,342 , \$127,000 <>, \$72,135

Recovery Needs:

, \$27,000 , \$10,159,100 , TOTALS , \$615,939 , \$766,986



\$9,754,342 \$137,000 \$738,465 \$5,846,772 \$17,859,504 Appendix 5: Request for Letters of Interest Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Housing& Community Development Deval L. Patrick, Governor u Aaron Gornstein, Undersecretary 100 Cambridge Street, Suite 300 www.mass.gov/dhcd Boston, Massachusetts 02114 &sp;mp;sp;&asympbsp;a;;nb;s;a;ammpa72&am;sp&nsp 617.573.1100xxx DATE: August 2, 2013 TO: All Interested Parties in Massachusetts

FROM: Massachusetts Community Development Block Grant Program

RE: Funding Opportunity: Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds

Recovery Needs:

nt (DHCD) is eligible to receive and will be applying for \$7,210,000 in CDBG-DR funding from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). CDBG-DR funds may be used only for specific disaster recovery-related purposes (see below). Recovery efforts may involve housing, infrastructure and prevention of further damage to affected areas. The use of CDBG-DR funds may not duplicate funding available from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Small Business Administration, and the US Army Corps of Engineers.



Project/activity examples include:

,

§Constructing or rehabilitating public facilities such as streets, and water, sewer and drainage systems, government buildings, and neighborhood centers;

§Rehabilitation of homes and buildings damaged by the disaster;

§Purchase of damaged properties in a flood plain and relocating residents to safer areas:

§Homeownership activities such as down payment assistance, interest rate subsidies and loan guarantees for disaster victims;

§Economic development activities;

§Public services (generally limited to no more than 15 percent of the grant); and

§General administration costs (limited to no more than five (5) percent of the grant)

,

Eligible activities must meet at least one of three program national objectives: benefit to persons of low- and moderate- income, aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight, or meet other urgent community development needs.

Projects/activities must be undertaken in compliance with all applicable Federal and State rules and regulations including, but not limited to environmental review, flood insurance requirements, Davis Bacon wage rates, and federal procurement standards. All housing rehabilitation, reconstruction and new construction should be designed to incorporate principles of sustainability. At a minimum, HUD requires grantees to meet theeBilig StardfoRpacmet anNcif Rntialusingpgt;/p&t;

pgt;p>DHD wiluse information collected from state and federal agencies, public forums and community site visits to identify current and future projects and activities fundable under the CDBG-DR program. In addition, DHCD is requestingletters of interestfrom cities and towns describing local and regional disaster recovery projects or activities. All proposed projects must be in response to the following Disaster Declarations.

ØFEMA Disaster 1959: Severe Winter Storm 1/11/11 &ndash 1/12/11 (Berkshire, Essex, Hampden, Hampshire, Middlesex, Norfolk & Suffolk Counties)

ØFEMA Disaster 1994: Tornado 6/1/11 (Hampden & Worcester Counties)

ØFEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene 8/27/11 &ndash 8/29/11 (Barnstable, Berkshire, Bristol, Dukes, Franklin, Hampden, Hampshire, Norfolk & Plymouth Counties)

ØFEMA Disaster 4051: Severe Winter Storm 10/29/11 &ndash 10/30/11 (Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire, Hampden, Middlesex & Worcester Counties)

,

ØFEMA Disaster 4097: Hurricane Sandy 10/27/12 &ndash 11/8/12 (Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Nantucket, Plymout

Recovery Needs:

& Suffolk Counties)

,

HUD has further determined that a minimum of \$1,388,800 must be provided to Hampden County. To ensure timely expenditure of funds, all funding must be expended within two years of the date HUD obligates the funds to the grantee (DHCD). At least 50% of DHCD&rsquos funding must directly benefit low and moderate income individuals. Funds must be used to support projects that directly address the impacts of the Presidentially- Declared Disasters listed above.

DHCD is requesting letters of interest from cities and towns for potential CDBG-Disaster Recovery projects/ activities by August 20th, 2013. Eligible projects/activities will be considered for inclusion in an Action Plan being developed by the Department. Submission of a letter of interest does not guarantee funding. Communities that are unable to submit a letter of interest by August 20th may have the opportunity to submit information at a later date. The Action Plan will outline the initial proposed uses of the CDBG-DR funds and the methodology for selecting additional, future projects. The Action Plan will be posted for public comment and submitted to HUD for its approval. DHCD may amend the Action Plan to include additional projects and activities at a later date.

More information on eligibility requirements may be found at:http://www.mass.gov/hed/community/funding/community-development-block-grant-disaster-recovery-.html

DHCD will hold an information session regarding the CDBG-DR program at 1:00 pm on Tuesday, August 13, 2013 at Union Station in Worcester, MA. Please see the attached map and directions.

Please contact Mark Siegenthaler, Community Development Manager (mark.siegenthaler@state.ma.usor 617-573-1426) or Patricia



Interested parties should email a letter of interest by August 20th, 2013, including the following required information, to Mark Siegenthaler at Appicantame/Cotact Information (iludinnaetil, emilddress aelephne number):
Project/Activity Name, Community, County and Physical Address:
Project or Activity Description:
How does the project directly address the impacts of Presidentially Declared Disaster(s) in Massachusetts? Which Declaration is addressed? What unmet need is being addressed?
Project&rsquos ability to benefit persons of low- and moderate- income, aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight, or meet other urgent community needs:
Project Budget (identify all sources & uses including known & expected match):
Project Timeline (identify expected start, project implementation, completion date – within 18 months – and any potential impacts to the timeline):
Applicant involvement in disaster recovery efforts to-date:
Recovery Needs:
nbsp;
Appendix 6: Submitted Projects List
DHCD CDBG-DR Program
Municipality
County
Project Name
, Туре
National Objective
Budget Estimate
Comments
, 1

 $Roushanaei, Senior\ Program\ Representative\ (patricia.roushanaei@state.ma.usor\ 617-573-1427)\ for\ further\ CDBG-DR\ Program\ information.$



Adams Berkshire , Charles Street Bridge Public Facilities LMI \$250,000 Category 1 , 2 , Ashfield , Franklin Smith Branch Road reconstruction Public Facilities Urgent Need , \$375,000 Category 1 Regional Housing Rehabilitation Program Housing LMI/Urgent Need \$588,000 Category 2 , 3 Becket Berkshire Walker Brook culverts Public Facilities Urgent Need \$133,125 , 4 Brookfield Worcester Stump removal, trimming \$125,000



5 Buckland Franklin Bridge rehabilitation Public Facilities Urgent Need \$600,000 Category 1 , 6 , Colrain , Berkshire Town Highway Garage Public Facilities , LMI , \$1,000,000 Category 3 Fairhaven Bristol , Union Wharf Building Public Facilities Slums & Blight \$150,000 Category 1 , 8 , Hubbardston Worcester Housing Rehabilitation Program Housing LMI/Urgent Need , \$330,000 Category 2 Nantucket Nantucket



Baker Road Relocation

Public Facilities Urgent Need \$11,000,000 , 10 Northbridge Worcester Rockdale Youth Center Public Facilities , \$613,654 Category 1 , 11 Norton Bristol Housing Authority - Woodlands Meadows drainage Public Facilities LMI \$100,000 Category 2 , 12 Quincy Plymouth Parkhurst Marsh stormwater **Public Facilities Recovery Needs:** p>lt> symp;&gasymp;g;&l;/&t \$260,0 , <>13 bsp; , ͨbpGossmanam;I;/&am;gt/p> Public Facilities LMI , \$969,000 , 14 Rockland Plymouth



Housing Rehabilitation Housing , \$341,575 Category 2 , 15 Community Center Public Facilities \$286,100 , 16 , Savoy Berkshire Black Brook Rd. design Public Facilities design **Urgent Need** \$175,000 Category 1 , 17 Sturbridge Worcester Champeaux Road Public Facilities \$1,437,500 , 18 , Walker Pond Public Facilities \$2,333,392 , 19 Templeton



Worcester Housing Authority - Phoenix Court siding , Housing , LMI \$375,000 , 20 Ware Hampshire , WWTF generator Public Facilities LMI \$200,000 , 21 Washington Berkshire Eden Glen Bridge Public Facilities Urgent Need \$130,000 , 22 , Webster Worcester Housing rehabilitation Housing , \$341,575 Category 2 , 23 Fire Station roof Public Facilities , \$199,725 , 24



Burying Overhead Utilities Public Facilities \$345,000 , 25 Williamstown Berkshire Southworth St. extension Public facilities , LMI , \$315,000 Category 1 Total Requested , \$22,973,646 Total available \$5,821,200 Chester Hampden Hampden Street water main replacement Public Facilities LMI , \$302,085 Category 1 , 2 Chicopee Hampden Chicopee tree management Public Facilities , \$120,225 , 3 Holland **Recovery Needs:**

Hampden



Over the Top Road drainage Public Facilities \$60,000 Category 3 Monson Hampden Regional Housing Rehabilitation Program w/Brimfield, Wilbraham Housing LMI/Urgent Need \$540,000 Category 2 , 5 Park Road Drainage Project Public Facilities . Urgent Need \$120,000 Category 1 , 6 West Springfield Hampden Union Street Park Public Facilities , LMI \$1,000,000 Category 1 Total Requested , \$2,142,310 Minimum available \$1,388,800 Total Requested \$25,115,956 Total available



\$7,210,000	
,	
,	
,	
, Appendix 7:	Proposed Project Activity Descriptions
,	
,	
,	
, Grantee/Respor	nsible Entity: Townodamsstrngttrng>
, p><>m;t;>lt;/>	:&8723;lt/amp;a;nsp;lt;/>
, /p>lt;/>	
, Activity Title: Ch	parles Street Bridge Reconstruction
,	
,	
,	
, Activity Type: Ir	nfrastructure Repairs
,	
,	
,	
, National Objecti	ve: Area-Wide LMI Benefit (Town of Adams is 57.8% LMI)
,	The first time and the content of the first time is the content of
,	
,	
, Budget: \$250,00	
,	
,	
,	
time, the neighb	t and Unmet Need: The Charles Street Bridge was destroyed during FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene. Since that torhood formerly served by this bridge is now limited to a single point of access by crossing the Southwick Brook over a ghborhood is a horseshoe-shaped configuration that formerly had two means of access.
,	
,	
,	
	tion: Removal and reconstruction of the destroyed Charles Street Bridge. Once funding is received, the Town will develop bid- ion plans and specifications and construction would begin in Spring, 2014.
,	



Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Improvements to one, (1) public facility.
,
,
,
<u></u>
Recovery Needs:
,
,
,
Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Ashfield & Franklin County Regional
,
,
,
Activity Title: Smith Branch Road Reconstruction Project – Smith Road, Ashfield, MA
,
,
,
,
Activity Type: Infrastructure Repairs .
,
,
, National Objective: Urgent Need
,
,
, Budget: \$375,000
,
,
,
Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need: As result of FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene and worsened by FEMA Disaster 4051: Severe Winter Storm, 2,718 linear feet of Smith Branch Road was washed out. The road&rsquos washed-out condition makes it impassible and its condition worsens significantly with each subsequent storm. This road is the last road in Ashfield yet to be repaired as a result of these two storm events.
,
,
,
Activity Description: Engineering, removal of remnants of a former retention wall, construction of a new retention wall, and gravel



resurfacing. Once funding is received, the townwill commence the engineering and permitting activities on this project immediately. The bulk of the construction activity would be started at the beginning of the 2014 construction season (weather permitting) and would be completed by September of 2014.
,
,
,
Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Reconstruction of 2, 718 linear feet of roadway.
,
,
,
,
,
,
Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Buckland
,
,
,
Activity Title: Clesson BrookRd. BidgeRehbltiont;/>/p&mt/p>
, amp;aymp;l;>&bs
, /p&t
Actiityype Public Faclities
,
,
, National Objective: Urgent Need
,
,
,
,
Budget: \$600,000
,
,
,
, Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need:
,
The Clesson Brook Road Bridge was completely covered in debris following Tropical Storm Irene (FEMA Disaster 4028). Prior to clean up of the debris, it was thought that the bridge had been washed away. This bridge serves is the major access route to a largely developed area in upper Buckland; the only other access to this area is via two narrow, winding country roads that are unable to accommodate safety equipment such as fire truck andambulances. Clesson B



Recovery Needs:

emergency services from the Town of Hawley to service the area.
,
,
,
Activity Description:
,
, Rehabilitation of the 35-foot long, 25-foot 9-inch wide steel stringer/girder bridge will include repair of spalled and deteriorated areas of the underside of the concrete deck and fascia; installation of a water proofing membrane on the top surface of the bridge deck and bituminous overlay of the bridge deck; cleaning, rust removal and repainting of the steel beams and bearings; and removal and rebuilding of the southeast abutment and southeast wingwall.
,
,
,
,
Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Rehabilitation of 35 linear foot bridge
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Chester
,
,
,
•
Activity Title: Hampden Street Water Main Restoration Project ,
,
,
, Activity Type: Public Facilities
,
,
,



, National Objective: Low-and Moderate Income area benefit
•
,
·
, Budget: \$302,085
,
,
,
, Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need:
,
Tropical storm Irene damaged the water main located under Walker Brook at the Hamden Street Bridge intersection. Its subsequent removal from services is attributed in its entirety to FEMA Disaster 4028. The disconnection of this section of the water line has allowed the Town&rsquos water system to continue to operate, but has eliminated an important loop in the distribution system and is not a long-term solution to address the damage sustained in the storm.
,
,
, Activity Description:
•
, Installation of a new water main to replace an existing water line removed from service due to damage sustained during Tropical Storm Irene. The existing line, installed under Walker Brook, will be abandoned in place, reducing the construction and environmental impact on the project area. The new water main will cross over thBroooaupport beadcnt tohe HmpenStreet Bre.amp;nbsht beam apipwibitedtheast sideoftbridge,inimizing the threat of damage due to another high-water event.
,
•
,
, Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures:
, Installation of00 linear feet of new 8” ductile i
Recovery Needs: on water main
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
, Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Fairbayen



, Activity Title: McLean&rsquos Seafood Building at Union Wharf
, Activity Type: Demolition
, National Objective: Area Wide Slums & Blight (DHCD-approved S&B Target Area)
Budget: \$150,000
Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need: McLean&rsquos Seafood Building at Union Wharf sustained severe damage during FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene. A portion of the wall was blown out exposing the building to the elements and affecting the structuralintegrity of that portion of the wall. The Town, as a stop gap measure, had a 2&rsquox6’ patch wall installed to make the building somewhat more weather tight and keep the second floor in this section of the building from structurally failing. This repair was only a temporary solution.
Activity Description: The Town owns an approximate 5,400 SF, two-story building on the North side of Union Wharf. The building was once home to McLean&rsquos Seafood, which was used as a seafood unloading and processing facility. The building is currently vacant and has not been used for over seven years. In 2007, the Town appropriated funds to demolish the eastern half of the building and install a fire alarm system in the remaining building. Since then the remaining building has deteriorated, including damage sustained during Tropical Storm Irene, to a point where rehabilitation is not an option.
Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Demolition of one, (1) blighted structure in a designed slum & blight area.



,
,
, Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Monson
,
,
,
, Activity Title: Park Road Drainage Improvement Project
,
,
,
, Activity Type: Public Facilities
,
,
, National Objective: Urgent Need - Existing conditions of the drainage system pose a serious and immediate threat to the health and welfare of Monson due to erosion of the slope.
p>,
, &nbs
Recovery Needs:
;
,
, Budget: \$120,000
,
,
,
, Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need: This project directly addresses an impact of a presidentially declared disaster in Massachusetts, as the proosdk Road Drainae loveenojectll poidrecnsrutarnage chanl thtasdirclyaffeced bye Ju1, 20ado (FMA Disaster14). This channel directs runoff from a large area that was deforested by the tornado.
,
,
,
The project reconstructs the roadside drainage channel along Park Road that was severely impacted by the increased run off due to the deforestation from the tornado of the upland areas. The project proposes to reconstruct 800 feet of drainage channel south from High Street to the Flynt Park entrance. Presently, the channel is earth and rock with unstable banks. In some sections the channel is three feet below the elevation of the roadway edge. The project stabilizes and improves the undercut slopes of an open drainage system
,
, Activity Description:



The existing drainage channel will be reconstructed as a paved water way to convey storm water runoff to the existing discharge at High Street. The reconstruction will consist of filling and shaping the channel to a consistent cross section and placing a 7 foot wide, 2 ½ inch thic hot asphalt mix for the finished water way adjacent to the roadway. The side slope outside of the pavement will be stabilized at a maximum 2 to 1 slope with loam, seed and mulch. Where the opportunities exist, the former channel area will be graded nearly level and finished with loam, seed and mulch. Stilling pools will be created at the major inflow locations along the drainage channel to facilitate the collection of the water inflows.
,
, The project also proposes to repave Park Road once the drainage work is complete.
1
,
, Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures:reconstruct a fully designed, engineered, and permitted drainage channel damaged by the increase runoff due to the deforestation resulting from the tornado.
,
,
,
,
,
, Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Northbridge and Central MA Regional Planning Commission
,
,
,
, Activity Title: Rockdale Youth Center Relocation Project ,
,
, Activity Type: Acquisition/Flood Buyout, Demolition, Rehabilitation ,
,
, National Objective: Urgent Need
,
,
,
Budget: \$601,654
,



, Disaster, Impact anUnmet Need: The Rockdale
Page very Manda
Recovery Needs: buth Center (RYC) building, owned and operated by the Whitin Community Center, is located in a 100-year flood plain and suffered extensive structural/water damage beyond their capability to repair as a direct result of the following storms: FEMA Disasters 1994 and 4051. The impact of previous flood events (DR-1994) led to flood damage, but the October Snowstorm (FEMA Disaster 4051) severely compromised the building&rsquos structure. The roof was damaged and ice dams formed which created leaks into the buildings walls and interior. Most of the costs associated with the damage were not covered by insurance. FEMA Disaster 4051 also resulted in the stockpiling of snow along the side of thelng andalt ladenat ansbuidngweksausindmageothe founaion and siding As thsnomelted,additonal floodnoued.ladtio, the buildinuffered damage to the lower siding slats and wood sole plates where the wall support studs attach.
During FEMA Disaster DR-1994, high winds and rain caused water to leak through the roof causing damage to interior ceiling and insulation. Additionally, due to the facility&rsquos location, storm water runoff flowed up against the building and into the crawl space, exacerbating existing damages caused by previous disasters and flood events. During this disaster, heavy rains caused the Blackstone River to rise and back up against the building. This caused additional flooding of crawl space area and damage to the structure.
Activity Description: The RYC has determined that it is not economically feasible to flood proof the existing facility by elevating it above base flood elevation (approximately five feet), maintain its structural integrity, and remain ADA compliant. The total cost of reconstructing the existing building in its current location is estimated to be \$270,000: however, the building would still be threatened by flooding and high water events. CDBG-DR funding will be used for the acquisition/flood buyout and demolition of the property on Providence Street and the rehabilitation of a former Catholic school owned by the St. Peter&rsquos Parish that will be used to relocate the RYC. The building, which has been vacant for 15 years, is structurally sound but will require significant renovations and upgrades so that the RYC may move its programs there.
Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Demolition of one, (1) blighted structure and rehabilitation of a public facility for use as a Youth Center.
,
Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of Savoy



Activity Litie: Black Brook Road Reconstruction ,
,
Activity Type: Public Facilities
,
,
,
, Natonal Objective: Urgent Need
,
Recovery Needs:
p>
,
•
, Budget:\$175,000
,
,
,
, Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need: Tropical Storm Irene impacted Black Brook Road, which is a critical access road for mutual aid and emergency services for remote areas of Savoy. It provides vital access to RT 2, North Adams, Florida, Charlemont, Buckland, Greenfield and US 91. During the Summer of 2012, Savoy Highway Crew members spent the bulk of their time working to upgrade Black Brook Road and ready it for paving, including new drains and guardrails. Then Irene hit, devastating the road just as connecting RT 2 experienced.
,
, Activity Description: Design costs forBlack Brook Road which is a vital access road for mutual aid and emergency services for remote areas o Savoy. ,
,
,
, Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Road engineering and design thatwill result in pnsoromay nedobe movn sepces adhch wiaffect overall design, periting and cost. Te town is loking for atualconsruction to be staten the faof2013tspring of 214
•
,
,
,
,
,
,



Grantee/Responsible Entity: Town of West Springfield Activity Title: Merrick Redevelopment and Neighborhood Park Project Activity Type: Public Facilities National Objective: Low-and Moderate Income area benefit Budget: \$750,000 Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need: The Merrick section of West Springfield was particularly hard-hit by the June 1, 2011, EF-3 Category tornado (FEMA Disaster Declaration 1994), and suffered two of the three storm related fatalities in western Massachusetts. The damage to the neighborhood dramatically changed conditions there.



Activity Description:
,
, The proposed Merrick Redevelopment and Neighborhood Park Project will involve acquiring an ,
, underutilized, tornado-damaged industrial complex located on the western edge of the densely ,
, developed, mixed-use Merrick neighborhood, and turning a portion of the complex into an ,
, urban park.
,
,
Proposed Accomplishments/Performan
Recovery Needs:
e Measures:
,
Funds will be used to cover the costs associated with the acquisition and development of a neighborhood park to provide open space in this densely developed neighborhood in which 73% of residents earn low and moderate incomes. The Merrick Redevelopment and Neighborhood Park Project will address the neighborhoods need for expanded open space and will facilitate development that is sensitive to the residential character of the neighborhood, while encouraging economic development opportunities.
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
, Grantee/Responsible Entity: The Town of Williamstown ,
, Activity Title: Southworth Street infrastructure to new affordable housing site ,
,
Activity Type: Public Facilities
,
,
, National Objective: Benefit low-moderate income persons ,
,



Budget: The Town of Williamstown is applying for \$300,000 in project costs to complete the extension of Southworth Road to the affordable housing site and \$15,000 in General Administration for a total request of \$315,000.
,
,
1
, Disaster, Impact and Unmet Need: Tropical Storm Irene destroyed 165of the 225 mobile homes in The Spruces Manufactured Housing Community, displacing close to 300 people. The displaced individuals not only lost their homes, but also their ability to live indpendntinheremmni.isofomesxacerbad an alredy seiouhortageof affordable housing in Wiliastown and createan urgent neefor more housingrower income households./p>/p;
, /p&t
, <>Activityescrption: The CDGB-DR project will assist residents who were displaced by Tropical Storm Irene and those residents who will be displaced by the proposed removal of the remaining units in the flood prone park by facilitating the development of new affordable rental housing that will be available to them. Extending Southworth Street will provide vehicular and pedestrian access and public utilities to an approximately 4-acre site being donated by Williams College for the express purpose of creating housing that addresses the needs of those residents.
,
,
,
Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures:Funds will be used to extend Southworth Street to the affordable housing site and \$15,000 in General Administration for a total request of \$315,000. The CDBG-DR funds will leverage an estimated \$12.3 million in additional funds that will be used for the development of the new housing. Anticipated sources include: Williamstown CPA grant, 9% Low Income Housing Tax Credits, State Subordinate Loans (HSF, AHTF, Home), FHLB AHP Grant and a Private 1stMortgage.
,
,
,
,
,
,
Recovery Needs:
•
p>, Appendix 8: Public Notice of Action Plan Comment Period ,
,
,
,
, PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
,
,
,
,



,
, Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR)
, Action Plan
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
TheU.S.Department of Housing and Urban Development(HUD) has allocated to Massachusetts, \$7.21 million from the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (P.L. 113-2) for distribution through the Massachusetts Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program. The funds are intended to address unmet housing, infrastructure and business needsincommunities recovering from storms that received federal disaster designations in 2011 and 2012.
,
,
Inaccordance with CDBG-DR requirements, The Commonwealth is required to submit an Action Plan that identifies the proposed uses of the funding, including criteria or eligibility, and how the uses address long-term recovery needs. DHCD has posted the draft in order to provide opportunity for public comment. The draft CDBG-DR Action Plan is available on DHCD&rsquos website, atwww.mass.gov/dhcd.
, and the second
,
,
Interested parties should feel free to submit comments through August 30, 2013, in writing or via email, directly to DHCD. Comments may be directed to: Mark Siegenthaler, Community Development Manager, DHCD, 100 Cambridge St, Suite 300, Boston, MA 02114 ormark.siegenthaler@state.ma.us.
, and the second
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
, ommnts Rceved/p>pgt;
, >l;/&g
TheDartment receivedeeralclarifiction questions regarding indvidul letters of inteest submitted fom the same individuaespondents.
,



The Dprtmeteivedseral questionreardinthe satus of projects identified in letters of interest but not included in Category 1, 2 or 3.
Decrease to Comments
Response to Comments
The Project Selection section of the Action Plan has been amended to provide greater detail and clarity regarding the status letters of interest for projects not listed in categories 1, 2 or 3.
, and projected flot listed in dategories 1, 2 or o.
Appendix 9:&nsp &n
Recovery Needs:
sp; Program Income, Monitoring Standards and Detection of Fraud, Waste and Abuse
Program Income
DUCD for deal activities and deal CDDC DD will not recovered Drawners Income Forthern as a recovided in the CDDC DD Contiferation decomments.
DHCD-funded activities under CDBG-DR will not generate Program Income. Further, as provided in the CDBG-DR Certification documents:
9.



a. If revenue-generating activities (e.g., rehabilitation, economic development loans) will be undertaken by the program participant, has the participant developed standards to track and disburse the program income (for an eligible use)?
Yes
No
N/A
Provide Cross-Reference to Standards:
Both CDBG/GMS and MMARS track program income
, and the same with the track program meeting
b. Does the program participant have a system and standards for tracking program income generated by subrecipients or other entities to which funds are passed through?
, [24 CFR 570.502(a)(4), 24 CFR 570.489(d)]
Yes
, No
, N/A
, Provide Cross-Reference to Standards:
Same as above
c. If program income will be retained by a subrecipient or pass-through entity, does the program participant have a system and standards for ensuring that such income is reported in a timely and accurate manner?
Yes



No No
, N/A
, Provide Cross-Reference to Standards:
, CDBG/GMS tracks program income in &ldquoreal time”
,
,
•
•
, d. Upon expiration of any agreements between the program participant and a subrecipient and/or pass-through entity, does the participant have standards to ensure:
i. the timely and accurate transfer of any funds to be returned to the participant; and/or
ii. the timely and accurate transfer of outstanding loans or accounts receivable?
, Yes/p>/p&g
, p><>No;/gt;/p>
, p>/A
, Provide Cro-Refernce to Stndards :
, CDBG/GMS grat cloe-out procedures;
sub-reciientsontinue to reportrogram income in CDB
Recovery Needs:
, p>
p>
, Pomp
&
/p>
10&nbs/p>
, Does the program participant have standards that explain how it will comply with the requirements governing the receipt of, and reporting on the use of, program income in the DRGR System? (NOTE: program income, other than program income deposited in revolving funds, must be disbursed in payment of program costs prior to making further cash withdrawals.)
, [24 CFR 570.502(a)(5), 24 CFR 570.504(b), 24 CFR 570.489(d)]
Provide Cross-Reference to Standards:
CDBG/GMS will identify sub-recipient program income. MA CDBG staff will enter program income amounts in DRGR.
,



,
,
, 11.
,
, If the program participant will provide loans, does it have standards that describe how it will properly service all CDBG-assisted loans, including:
i. written loan agreements that clearly describe the repayment terms, what constitutes a default and how it can be cured, what actions the program participant will take if the default is not cured, and (if applicable) what is pledged as security for the loan?
ii. collection standards that provide for the recognition of all current amounts due, payments received, notification to borrower when payments are overdue, a process for taking further action on defaulted loans, and criteria for writing off bad debts?
[24 CFR 570.502(a)(4), 24 CFR 570.489(d)]
Yes Yes
, No
, N/A
Provide Cross-Reference to Standards:
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program
,
,
,
,
,
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , ,
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , , Monitoring Standards ,
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , ,
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , , Monitoring Standards ,
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , , Monitoring Standards , , , All DHCD CDBG-DR grantees will be monitored in accordance CDBG program requirements as outlined in DHCD&rsquos CDBG/GMS online
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , Monitoring Standards , , All DHCD CDBG-DR grantees will be monitored in accordance CDBG program requirements as outlined in DHCD&rsquos CDBG/GMS online grant management system and DHCD&rsquos CDBG Operations Manual.
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , , , Monitoring Standards , , , All DHCD CDBG-DR grantees will be monitored in accordance CDBG program requirements as outlined in DHCD&rsquos CDBG/GMS online grant management system and DHCD&rsquos CDBG Operations Manual. , , ,
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , , , Monitoring Standards , , , , All DHCD CDBG-DR grantees will be monitored in accordance CDBG program requirements as outlined in DHCD&rsquos CDBG/GMS online grant management system and DHCD&rsquos CDBG Operations Manual.
DHCD does not anticipate making loans under this program , , , , , Monitoring Standards , , , All DHCD CDBG-DR grantees will be monitored in accordance CDBG program requirements as outlined in DHCD&rsquos CDBG/GMS online grant management system and DHCD&rsquos CDBG Operations Manual. , , ,



, As p	rovided in the CDBG-DR Certification documents:
,	
,	
,	
, VI. P	rocedures to Detect Fraud, Waste, and Abuse of Funds
,	
,	
, Gran	tee
, HUD	
, 1.	Has the grantee attached procedures that indicate how the grantee will verify the accuracy of information provided by applicants?
,	Fraud, Waste and Abuse materials attached below
,	
,	
, Yes	
,	
No	
	overy Needs:
>	
,	
,	
,	
Yes ,	
No ,	
,	
2.	Has the grantee provided a monitoring policy that indicates:
,	
a.	How and why monitoring is conducted,
b.	The frequency of monitoring, and
c.	Which items are monitored?
,	
,	
,	
,	
,	
,	
, Yes	
, No	



, , , Yes ,

, Yes , No

, Yes , No

Has the grantee&rsquos internal auditor affirmed and described its role in detecting fraud, waste, and abuse? DHCD&rsquos Director of Internal Controls, Audits & Contracts, Mekdes Abebe, coordinates the Agency&Isquos internal training and policies regarding Fraud, Waste and Abuse. Her position reports to the Undersecretary, separate from Chief Counsel and the CFO. The State Auditors website has a reporting mechanism for suspected fraud. The link is:http://www.mass.gov/auditor/report-fraud-and-waste.html Yes No Yes No Has the grantee met the above requirements? If no, explain. No

 Overall
 This Report Period
 To Date

 Total Projected Budget from All Sources
 N/A
 \$4,636,239.00

 Total Budget
 \$0.00
 \$4,636,239.00

 Total Obligated
 \$0.00
 \$2,768,739.00



Total Funds Drawdown	\$73,878.00	\$266,408.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$73,878.00	\$266,408.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$60,875.00	\$305,483.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Progress Toward Required Numeric Targets

Requirement	Required	To Date
Overall Benefit Percentage (Projected)		56.39%
Overall Benefit Percentage (Actual)		8.01%
Minimum Non-Federal Match	\$0.00	\$0.00
Limit on Public Services	\$1,081,500.00	\$0.00
Limit on Admin/Planning	\$1,442,000.00	\$22,880.00
Limit on State Admin	\$360,500.00	\$22,880.00

Progress Toward Activity Type Targets

Progress Toward National Objective Targets

National Objective Target Actual Low/Mod \$3,605,000.00 \$2,510,101.00

Overall Progress Narrative:

The Commonwealth continues to work with all of our grantees to make sure that projects are on progressing smoothly and are on track. Additionally staff has work with the towns of Norton and Holland to finalize projects that were submitted to DHCD in August, 2013 for inclusion in our Amended Action Plan. Staff has also worked with a property owner and local officials in Brimfield, MA to include funding for a property that was damaged by the tornado in 2011 - FEMA Disaster 1994. Two Category 1 communities (Fairhaven amd Northbridge) that received funding in as Category 1 grantees have requested additional funds for their projects due to budget shortfalls. All of these projects will be submitted to HUD in another Substantial Amendment to our Action Plan.

On December 2, 2014, DHCD recieved HUD's approval to the Action Plan which included funds to reconstruct a highway garage in the town of Colrain which was damaged as a result of Tropical Storm Irene (FEMA Disaster 4028).

Staff have also worked with HUD and our grantees to respond to HUD's monitoring letter of 10/14/14. This letter cited one finding of noncompliance regarding inadequate documentation of duplication of benefits standards. Documentation to respond to this finding has been requested from all of our grantees.

Project Summary

Project #, Project Title This Report Period To Date

Program Funds Project Funds Program Funds

Program Funds Project Funds Program Funds
Drawdown Budgeted Drawdown



MA CDBG DR - 1, Infrastructure and Public Facilities	\$66,878.00	\$2,768,281.00	\$243,528.00
MA CDBG DR - 2, Economic Development	\$0.00	\$712,500.00	\$0.00
MA CDBG DR - 3, Administration	\$7,000.00	\$157,958.00	\$22,880.00



Activities

Area ()

Project # / Title: R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 / Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/001/6 Adams

Activity Title: Charles Street Bridge

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Construction/reconstruction of streets Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

Low/Mod Town of Adams

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$240,371.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$240,371.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$240,371.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Adams	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

The Charles Street Bridge was destroyed during FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene. Since that time, the neighborhood formerly served by this bridge is now limited to a single point of access by crossing the Southwick Brook over a culvert. The neighborhood is a horseshoe-shaped configuration that formerly had two means of access.

Activity Description: Removal and reconstruction of the destroyed Charles Street Bridge. Once funding is received, the Town will develop bid-ready construction plans and specifications and construction would begin in Spring, 2014.

Proposed Accomplishments/Performance Measures: Improvements to one, (1) public facility.

Location Description:

Charles Street Bridge, Adams, MA 01220



Activity Progress Narrative:

The Town submitted the Request for Release of Funds and Certification on October 10, 2014. The Town was notified by the Department on October 27, 2014 that no objections were received during the public comment period and the Town had cleared environmental review for FY2013 CDBG-DR. During the quarter, the Town's Project Engineer completed all final construction plans and specifications for the Charles Street Bridge Reconstruction Project. Plan drawings were reviewed by the DPW Director, the Town Administrator, and the CD Director.

Working with CD staff, the Project Engineer completed the final bid package so that public bidding for the project is ready to proceed. After deliberating with the Project Engineer, Town staff determined to bid the project early in the next quarter (January 2015). The Town had concerns that initiating the project in the late fall would not allow an adequate time period to complete all construction, and the unfinished work could be damaged during winter conditions. The delay in bidding will still allow the Town adequate time to complete the project well in advance of the grant deadline. The construction contractor is expected to be on board as soon as winter weather conditions lift.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Linear feet of Public Improvement	0	0/25

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/002/6 Ashfield

Activity Title: Smith Branch Road

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Construction/reconstruction of streets Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

Urgent Need Town of Ashfield

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$368,026.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$368,026.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$368,026.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$26,628.00	\$28,228.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$26,628.00	\$28,228.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$19,450.00	\$34,378.00
Town of Ashfield	\$19,450.00	\$34,378.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Area ()

Engineering, removal of remnants of a former retention wall, construction of a new retention wall, and gravel resurfacing. As result of FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene and worsened by FEMA Disaster 4051: Severe Winter Storm, 2,718 linear feet of Smith Branch Road was washed out. The road&rsquos washed-out condition makes it impassible and its condition worsens significantly with each subsequent storm. This road is the last road in Ashfield yet to be repaired as a result of these two storm events.

Location Description:

Smith Road, Ashfield, MA 01330

Activity Progress Narrative:

The Town of Ashfield executed contract extensions with HRA and with Tighe and Bond; new contract period of performance will extend to 9/30/2015.

The following major tasks were completed by Engineering Firm Tighe and Bond between October 2014 and December 2014:

- >1.Submitted Notice of Intent (NOI) documents &ndash October 23, 2014
- >2. Completed opinion of probable construction costs (OPCC)
- >3. Drafted Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) 404 permit documents
- >4.Reviewed MassDEP audit comments associated with NOI



>5. On-site meeting with MassDEP and Ashfield Conservation Commission &ndash November 20, 2014;

>6.Meeting with Board of Selectmen to discuss project status &ndash December 15, 2014. Tighe & Bond completed and submitted the Notice of Intent with the expectation of meeting with the Ashfield Conservation Commission on November 12, 2014. However, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) performed an audit of the project, which suggested that the Ashfield Conservation Commission review the project as a &IdquoRiverfront Redevelopment&rdquo rather than a &IdquoLimited Project&rdquo as proposed in the NOI. Because of MassDEP&rsquos suggested permitting modifications, the public meeting with the Ashfield Conservation Commission was continued to January 14, 2015 in order to accommodate an on-site meeting with MassDEP and to discuss the alternative permitting approach. Likewise, the Corps 404 permitting documents were not submitted due to potential modifications required.

The OPCC prepared for the project included several assumptions to account for unknown subsurface conditions such as ledge elevations and rock diameters. Likewise, the OPCC also included a 20% contingency resulting in an estimated construction cost of \$387,000, which exceeds the Town of Ashfield&rsquos construction budget by approximately \$70,000.

The on-site meeting with MassDEP&rsquos Circuit Rider, Mark Stinson, and the Ashfield Conservation Commission included several abutters as well as members from the Commission. Discussions revolved around the permitting approach proposed in the NOI and alternative permitting approach suggested by MassDEP in the audit.

>Because of the permitting modifications suggested by MassDEP and insufficient construction budget allotted for the project, Tighe & Bond representatives and MJ Adams, Franklin County HRA, met with the Town of Ashfield Board of Selectmen. During the meeting, it was determined that an informational meeting with MassDEP should be held to discuss MassDEP&rsquos audit comments and other permitting concerns of the project. This meeting will be scheduled to occur in mid January.

Once the permitting path is determined (a 1/15/15 meeting is scheduled with Town, DEP & Tighe & Bond) a new timeline will be established. HRA may proceed with a survey of the target area to evaluate the potential of the project meeting L/M benefit as its national objective.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total

of Linear feet of Public Improvement 0 0/2718

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



RD-1 CDBGDR/003/6 Buckland **Grantee Activity Number: Activity Title: Clesson Brook Road Bridge**

Activity Status: Activitiy Category:

Construction/reconstruction of streets **Under Way**

Project Title: Project Number:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date: 12/12/2013

12/12/2015

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Town of Buckland

Overall Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014 To Date **Total Projected Budget from All Sources** N/A \$570,000.00 **Total Budget** \$0.00 \$570,000.00 **Total Obligated** \$0.00 \$570,000.00 **Total Funds Drawdown** \$29,000.00 \$20,750.00 \$20,750.00 \$29,000.00 **Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Program Income Received** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Total Funds Expended** \$19,625.00 \$48,625.00 Town of Buckland \$19,625.00 \$48,625.00

Match Contributed \$0.00 \$0.00

Activity Description:

Benefit Type: Area ()

Urgent Need

National Objective:

The Clesson Brook Road Bridge was completely covered in debris following Tropical Storm Irene (FEMA Disaster 4028). Prior to clean up of the debris, it was thought that the bridge had been washed away. This bridge serves is the major access route to a largely developed area in upper Buckland; the only other access to this area is via two narrow, winding country roads that are unable to accommodate safety equipment such as fire truck and ambulances. Clesson Brook Road connects the residents of Hawley and Buckland to Route 112; when the bridge is impassible, the Buckland Fire District must rely on emergency services from the Town of Hawley to service the area.

Activity Description: Rehabilitation of the 35-foot long, 25-foot 9-inch wide steel stringer/girder bridge will include repair of spalled and deteriorated areas of the underside of the concrete deck and fascia; installation of a water proofing membrane on the top surface of the bridge deck and bituminous overlay of the bridge deck; cleaning, rust removal and repainting of the steel beams and bearings; and removal and rebuilding of the southeast abutment and southeast wingwall.

Location Description:

Clesson Brook Road Bridge Buckland, MA 01370

Activity Progress Narrative:

Weston & Sampson completed its assessment of the bridge&rsquos condition and identified three options for repair of the structure. It recommended that a pre-cast arch concrete system be used as it will accommodate 100 year design storms and



has the most expeditious construction schedule. However, it estimated cost is approximately \$520,000, exceeding the CDBG budget for this project.

The Town committed to meeting the budget shortfall with the use of its Chapter 90 funds, which was approved by the DHCD Program Representative. The Town instructed Weston & Sampson to proceed with the final designs and permitting for the precast arch concrete system bridge, so that bidding may take place in the spring of 2014.

Preliminary designs were presented to the Town of Buckland for review in December 2014 and approved. Weston & Sampson projects that 90% submittal drawings should be available for the Town to review by mid-January 2015. Once finalized, Mass DOT Chapter 85 permitting will begin.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/004/6 Chester Activity Title: Hampden Street Water Main

Activitiy Category:

Construction/reconstruction of water/sewer lines or systems

Project Number:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1

Projected Start Date:

12/12/2013

Benefit Type:

Area ()

National Objective:

Low/Mod

Activity Status:

Under Way

Project Title:

Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected End Date:

12/12/2015

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Town of Chester

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$286,980.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$286,980.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$286,980.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$14,500.00	\$19,500.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$14,500.00	\$19,500.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$5,000.00	\$19,500.00
Town of Chester	\$5,000.00	\$19,500.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Installation of a new water main to replace an existing water line removed from service due to damage sustained during Tropical Storm Irene. The existing line, installed under Walker Brook, will be abandoned in place, reducing the construction and environmental impact on the project area. The new water main will cross over the Brook on a support beam adjacent to the Hampden Street Bridge. This support beam and pipe will be installed at the east side of the bridge, minimizing the threat of damage due to another high-water event.

Location Description:

Hampden Street, Chester, MA 01011

Activity Progress Narrative:

In October, the Selectboard reviewed the award recommendation from Fay, Spofford & Thorndike (FST) and voted to award the project to Jack Goncalves & Sons for \$197,409.38. A notice of award was issued on October 22nd. A pre-construction conference with Goncalves, FST, PVPC and Town of Chester staff was conducted on November 12th. A notice to proceed was issued for November 14th. PVPC and FST staff also continued to work on completing the necessary environmental requirements for the project. Construction will start in the spring of 2015.



Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/006/2 Fairhaven

Activity Title: Union Wharf

Activity Status: Activitiy Category:

Clearance and Demolition **Under Way**

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 Infrastructure and Public Facilities **Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:**

12/12/2015

12/12/2013

Completed Activity Actual End Date: Benefit Type: Area ()

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

Slums and Blight Town of Fairhaven

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$143,750.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$143,750.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$143,750.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
Town of Fairhaven	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

McLean&rsquos Seafood Building at Union Wharf sustained severe damage during FEMA Disaster 4028: Tropical Storm Irene. A portion of the wall was blown out exposing the building to the elements and affecting the structuralintegrity of that portion of the wall. The Town, as a stop gap measure, had a 2&rsquox6&rsquo patch wall installed to make the building somewhat more weather tight and keep the second floor in this section of the building from structurally failing. This repair was only a temporary solution.

Activity Description: The Town owns an approximate 5,400 SF, two-story building on the North side of Union Wharf. The building was once home to McLean&rsquos Seafood, which was used as a seafood unloading and processing facility. The building is currently vacant and has not been used for over seven years. In 2007, the Town appropriated funds to demolish the eastern half of the building and install a fire alarm system in the remaining building. Since then the remaining building has deteriorated, including damage sustained during Tropical Storm Irene, to a point where rehabilitation is not an option.

Location Description:

Union Wharf, Fairhaven, MA 02719

Activity Progress Narrative:

The goal of this activity is the demolition of the McLean Seafood Building on Union Wharf, damaged by Tropical Storm Irene in



August 2011. This will remove a deteriorated structure contributing to blight in Fairhaven&rsquos Cushman Park Target Area, which has received a Slums & Blight designation.

>A RFQ for Engineering Services and Oversight was issued in April 2014; CLE Engineering was the sole respondent and a contract was executed with the firm in May 2014.

The engineering firm prepared the final demolition specifications and bid package, working with the grant consultant to incorporate CDBG requirements. The bid was advertised in the Central Register on October 1, with a pre-bid meeting held on October 7. Bids were opened on October 22, 2014.

All three bids received exceeded the funds available, with the lowest, responsible bid being \$259,870, requiring over \$168K in additional funds. The low bidder has agreed to hold his bid while the Town seeks additional funding from DHCD through the CDBG-DR program. DHCD published a Substantial Amendment to the CDBG-DR Action Plan on January 5, 2015, proposing \$168,870 in additional funds to Fairhaven for this project. If the amendment is approved by HUD, a contract amendment will be executed with DHCD and the bid awarded. Demolition would then commence around April 2015.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total

of buildings (non-residential)

0 0/1

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/008/6 Monson

Activity Title: Park Road Drainage

Activitiy Category:

Construction/reconstruction of streets

Project Number:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1

Projected Start Date:

12/12/2013

Benefit Type:

Area ()

National Objective:

Urgent Need

Activity Status:

Under Way

Project Title:

Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected End Date:

12/12/2015

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Town of Monson

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$120,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$120,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Monson	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

The project reconstructs the roadside drainage channel along Park Road that was severely impacted by the increased run off due to the deforestation from the tornado of the upland areas. The project proposes to reconstruct 800 feet of drainage channel south from High Street to the Flynt Park entrance. Presently, the channel is earth and rock with unstable banks. In some sections the channel is three feet below the elevation of the roadway edge. The project stabilizes and improves the undercut slopes of an open drainage system

The existing drainage channel will be reconstructed as a paved water way to convey storm water runoff to the existing discharge at High Street. The reconstruction will consist of filling and shaping the channel to a consistent cross section and placing a 7 foot wide, 2 ½ inch thick hot asphalt mix for the finished water way adjacent to the roadway. The side slope outside of the pavement will be stabilized at a maximum 2 to 1 slope with loam, seed and mulch. Where the opportunities exist, the former channel area will be graded nearly level and finished with loam, seed and mulch. Stilling pools will be created at the major inflow locations along the drainage channel to facilitate the collection of the water inflows.

The project also proposes to repave Park Road once the drainage work is complete.

Location Description:

Park Road Monson, MA 01057



Activity Progress Narrative:

Timeline for Completion of Project
Environmental Review Complete February 2015
Project Out to Bid March 2015
Contract Award April 2015
Work Begins May 2015
Work Completion June 2015

Accomplishments Performance Measures

This Report Period

Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total

Total

of Linear feet of Public Improvement

0

0/800

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/009/6 Northbridge

Activity Title: Rockdale Youth Center

Activitiy Category:

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of public facilities

Project Number:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1

Projected Start Date:

12/12/2013

Benefit Type:

Area ()

National Objective:

Urgent Need

Activity Status:

Under Way

Project Title:

Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected End Date:

12/12/2015

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Town of Northbridge

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$571,654.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$571,654.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$571,654.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
Town of Northbridge	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Location Description:

The Rockdale Youth Center Whitinsville, MA 01588

Activity Progress Narrative:

In accordance with the Flood Buyout Program (HUD) a commercial appraisal (Market ValueAppraisal) of the current Rockdale Youth Center is required to be completed on the building andthe land in accordance with 49 CFR 24.101(b) thru (5) of the Relocation Assistance and RealProperty Acquisition Policy Act of 1970 (URA), as amended when buyingout property withFederal Grant funding. Northbridge (through its consultant &ndashCMRPC) solicited bids from five (5)appraisal companies; Town shall engage the services of Maria Hopkins & Associates (in the amount of \$1,900.00); reference is made to CMRPC



[:] The RYC has determined that it is not economically feasible to flood proof the existing facility by elevating it above base flood elevation (approximately five feet), maintain its structural integrity, and remain ADA compliant. The total cost of reconstructing the existing building in its current location is estimated to be \$270,000: however, the building would still be threatened by flooding and high water events. CDBG-DR funding will be used for the acquisition/flood buyout and demolition of the property on Providence Street and the rehabilitation of a former Catholic school owned by the St. Peter&rsquos Parish that will be used to relocate the RYC. The building, which has been vacant for 15 years, is structurally sound but will require significant renovations and upgrades so that the RYC may move its programs there.

letter dated December 05, 2014 to the TownManager regarding procurement of services. An Asbestos Survey Report (NESHAP) was completed for the existing Rockdale Center (2219Providence Road) dated October 02, 2014. An Asbestos Survey Report (NESHAP) was completed for 39 Church Avenue (St. Peter&rsquos School Building) dated November 20, 2014. A Lead Paint Determination was completed for 39 ChurchAvenue (St. Peter&rsquos School Building) dated November 20, 2014.

A budget amendment is requested for Public Facilities/Infrastructure (Neighborhood Facilities 6D) toadjust to today&rsquos wage rates, pricing index for construction, as well as cover the unanticipated costs of hiring an Architect (Designer Services); utilizing a General Contractor (and subcontractors) for the approved project scope of work; the need to add three (3) additional bathrooms as a result of Code Review; additional cost associated for property appraisal per Flood Buyout Program (HUD); abatement of hazardous materials; electrical upgrades; and contingency costs. The Town&rsquos initial letter of interested awarded by DHCD/HUD did not include funding for these subsequently identified requirements. As noted in the second quarterly report, after discussions with DHCD and review of DCAMM regulations, DHCD indicated the arrangement (to hire an Architect, General Contractor and subcontractors) would be required. An estimated additional cost of \$298,546.00. The Rockdale Youth Center Relocation Project will require the additional sum of \$298,546.00 (for a total budget of \$870,200.00 for Public Facilities /6D Neighborhood Facilities; and for a total overall budget of \$900,200.00). As noted and described above; Northbridge has had discussions with DHCD concerning the need for additional funds in the past (see 1QR, 2QR & 3Quarterly Reports) and has proceeded with the understanding that additional funds will be released and granted for this project. The program end date (of September 2015) remains set; the project should concluded on or before this time table. Procurement for Architect (Designer Services) has been completed: the Architect selected is confident the timelines presented in the Request for Qualifications and detailed in the scope of work will be achieved. It is anticipated at this time, despite the delay in procuring for Architect and Contractor Services the project will remain on schedule; to be completed by September 30, 2015.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

This Report Period

Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total

Total

of Public Facilities 0 0/2

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found



Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/010/6 Savoy
Activity Title: Black Brook Rd Design

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Construction/reconstruction of streets Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:
Area ()

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

Urgent Need Town of Savoy

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$167,500.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$167,500.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$167,500.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$161,800.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$161,800.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$161,800.00
Town of Savoy	\$0.00	\$161,800.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Design costs forBlack Brook Road which is a vital access road for mutual aid and emergency services for remote areas of Savoy. Road engineering and design that will result in plans for road that may need to be moved in several places and which will affect overall design, permitting and cost.

Location Description:

Black Brook Road, Savoy, MA 01256

Activity Progress Narrative:

The design of the roadway and the soldier pile and lagging system for the reconstruction of the road is being finalized. Technical specifications are being compiled. The Notice of Intent is being prepared and will be submitted.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total Total

of Linear feet of Public Improvement

0 0/5000



Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/012/6 Williamstown

Activity Title: Southworth Street Extension

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Construction/reconstruction of streets

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1 Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Under Way

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

Low/Mod Town of Williamstown

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$300,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Williamstown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Direct (HouseHold)

Construction of roadway to faciltate development of replacement housing

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

Work completed includes installation of erosion barriers, clearing and grubbing, installation of road sub-base and installation of water main. Sewer and drainage work is underway.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.



Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-2 CDBGDR/001/6 Colrain

Activity Title: Town Highway Barn

Activitiy Category:

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of public facilities

Project Number:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 1

Projected Start Date:

12/15/2014

Benefit Type:

Area ()

National Objective:

Low/Mod

Activity Status:

Under Way

Project Title:

Infrastructure and Public Facilities

Projected End Date:

12/15/2016

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Town of Colrain

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$970,250.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$970,250.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Colrain	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Repairs to the Town Garage.

After the amendment was posted, the grantee requested to be able to move some of the funds that had been designated for administration costs to the construction budget. The request was granted so that the construction activity budget and the administration activity budget differ from what was proposed in the amendment. \$20,250 was moved from administration to construction making those budgets \$27,250 and \$970,250 respectively.

Location Description:

9 Jacksonville Road, Colrain, MA 01340

Activity Progress Narrative:

The Town has submitted an application via Intelligrants and when approved, DHCD will issues a grant contract.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources

National Objective:

Project # / Title: R1-MA CDBG DR - 2 / Economic Development

Grantee Activity Number: RD - 1 CDBGDR/011/5 West Springfield

Activity Title: Economic Development

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 2 Economic Development

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

07/30/2014 07/30/2016

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Area ()

Low/Mod Town of West Springfield

Overall Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014 To Date **Total Projected Budget from All Sources** \$712,500.00 N/A **Total Budget** \$0.00 \$712,500.00 **Total Obligated** \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 **Total Funds Drawdown Program Funds Drawdown** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Program Income Drawdown** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Program Income Received** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Total Funds Expended** \$0.00 \$0.00



Town of West Springfield	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

This project will involve acquisition and clearance of two highly visible, abandoned, tornado-damaged properties located in the densely developed, mixed-use Merrick neighborhood, which includes many residential homes.

The proposed plan is to acquire the properties, demolish the damaged buildings, and undertake environmental cleanup in order to prepare the sites for redevelopment.

Location Description:

974 Main Street, West Springfield, MA and 23 and 28 New Bridge Street West Springfield, MA

Activity Progress Narrative:

Our environmental consultant, Tighe & Bond, completed the Phase 2 field work and is currently compiling their final report. Cost estimates for cleanup and demolition at Standard Plating may come in very close to our \$750,000 CDBG-DR award. Should actual contractor bids exceed our award, West Springfield may approach DHCD for an additional allotment of funds.

Concurrent with environmental cleanup and demolition work, West Springfield is also making a start on the planning process necessary to reuse the property after environmental remediation and building demolition.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of buildings (non-residential)	0	0/2
# of Businesses	0	0/2

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

	This Re	port Period		Cumulative Act	ual Total / Expe	cted	
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Permanent John Created	0	0	0	0/0	0/4	0/5	0

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.



Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources

Project # / Title: R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 / Administration

Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/001/9 Adams

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title: R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

()

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Adams

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$9,629.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$9,629.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$9,629.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Adams	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Location Description:



Activity Progress Narrative:

The Town submitted the Request for Release of Funds and Certification on October 10, 2014. The Town was notified by the Department on October 27, 2014 that no objections were received during the public comment period and the Town had cleared environmental review for FY2013 CDBG-DR. During the quarter, the Town's Project Engineer completed all final construction plans and specifications for the Charles Street Bridge Reconstruction Project. Plan drawings were reviewed by the DPW Director, the Town Administrator, and the CD Director.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/002/9 Ashfield

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number:R1-MA CDBG DR - 3

Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Ashfield

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$6,974.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$6,974.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$6,974.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$5,800.00	\$5,800.00
Town of Ashfield	\$5,800.00	\$5,800.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

()

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

The Town of Ashfield executed contract extensions with HRA and with Tighe and Bond; new contract period of performance will extend to 9/30/2015.

The following major tasks were completed by Engineering Firm Tighe and Bond between October 2014 and December 2014:

- >1.Submitted Notice of Intent (NOI) documents &ndash October 23, 2014
- >2. Completed opinion of probable construction costs (OPCC)
- >3. Drafted Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) 404 permit documents
- >4.Reviewed MassDEP audit comments associated with NOI
- >5. On-site meeting with MassDEP and Ashfield Conservation Commission &ndash November 20, 2014;
- >6.Meeting with Board of Selectmen to discuss project status &ndash December 15, 2014. Tighe & Bond completed and submitted the Notice of Intent with the expectation of meeting with the Ashfield Conservation Commission on November 12, 2014. However, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) performed an audit of the project, which suggested that the Ashfield Conservation Commission review the project as a &IdquoRiverfront Redevelopment&rdquo rather than a &IdquoLimited Project&rdquo as proposed in the NOI. Because of MassDEP&rsquos suggested permitting



modifications, the public meeting with the Ashfield Conservation Commission was continued to January 14, 2015 in order to accommodate an on-site meeting with MassDEP and to discuss the alternative permitting approach. Likewise, the Corps 404 permitting documents were not submitted due to potential modifications required.

The OPCC prepared for the project included several assumptions to account for unknown subsurface conditions such as ledge elevations and rock diameters. Likewise, the OPCC also included a 20% contingency resulting in an estimated construction cost of \$387,000, which exceeds the Town of Ashfield&rsquos construction budget by approximately \$70,000.

The on-site meeting with MassDEP&rsquos Circuit Rider, Mark Stinson, and the Ashfield Conservation Commission included several abutters as well as members from the Commission. Discussions revolved around the permitting approach proposed in the NOI and alternative permitting approach suggested by MassDEP in the audit.

>Because of the permitting modifications suggested by MassDEP and insufficient construction budget allotted for the project, Tighe & Bond representatives and MJ Adams, Franklin County HRA, met with the Town of Ashfield Board of Selectmen. During the meeting, it was determined that an informational meeting with MassDEP should be held to discuss MassDEP&rsquos audit comments and other permitting concerns of the project. This meeting will be scheduled to occur in mid

Once the permitting path is determined (a 1/15/15 meeting is scheduled with Town, DEP & Tighe & Bond) a new timeline will be established. HRA may proceed with a survey of the target area to evaluate the potential of the project meeting L/M benefit as its national objective.

Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/003/9 Buckland

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Buckland

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$30,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$30,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$30,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$5,430.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$5,430.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$5,430.00
Town of Buckland	\$0.00	\$5,430.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

()

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

The goal of this activity is provide oversight and support of the grant through the services of a professional grant administration consultant.

The Consultant assisted in the preparation of the contract for services with the engineering firm.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount

No Other Funding Sources Found

Total Other Funding Sources



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/004/9 Chester

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Chester

Overall Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014 To Date **Total Projected Budget from All Sources** N/A \$15,105.00 **Total Budget** \$0.00 \$15,105.00 **Total Obligated** \$0.00 \$15,105.00 **Total Funds Drawdown** \$4,500.00 \$6,500.00 \$4,500.00 \$6,500.00 **Program Funds Drawdown Program Income Drawdown** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Program Income Received** \$0.00 \$0.00 **Total Funds Expended** \$1,000.00 \$6,500.00 Town of Chester \$1,000.00 \$6,500.00

Activity Description:

Match Contributed

()

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

Administrative activities included contract development, communication with DHCD and local officials, financial management and the preparation of monthly progress reports for the Town of Chester.

\$0.00

Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.



\$0.00

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/006/9 Fairhaven

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

()

Projected Start Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

Projected End Date:

N/A Town of Fairhaven

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$6,250.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$6,250.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$6,250.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$950.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$950.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$950.00
Town of Fairhaven	\$0.00	\$950.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

The goal of this activity is provide oversight and support of the grant through the services of a professional grant administration consultant. The grant consultant assisted with the preparation of the Demolition bid package and the request to DHCD for additional funds.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount

No Other Funding Sources Found

Total Other Funding Sources



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/009/9 Northbridge

Activity Title: Administration

Activitiy Category:

Administration

Project Number: R1-MA CDBG DR - 3

Projected Start Date:

12/12/2013

Benefit Type:

()

National Objective:

N/A

Activity Status:

Under Way

Project Title:

Administration

Projected End Date:

12/12/2015

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Town of Northbridge

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$30,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$30,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$30,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,500.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,500.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$7,500.00
Town of Northbridge	\$0.00	\$7,500.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

Central Massachusetts Regional Planning Commission (CMRPC) remains contracted with the Town of Northbridge to provide and assist in Administration of the project under the general direction of the Program Director, R. Gary Bechtholdt II (Town Planner) for the implementation and administration of the Disaster Recovery Program; no other procurement/contract hiring or subgrantee services have been awarded to date regarding management/administration;Northbridge may look to amend its Contract Services Agreement with CMRPC specific to drawdown /claim requests, and if so the total General Admin budget will remain unchanged,however may be redirected (within) to account for amended scope of services for CMRPC and the resulting Program Director scope of work.



Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures found.

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/010/9 Savoy

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number:Project Title:R1-MA CDBG DR - 3Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Savoy

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$7,500.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$7,500.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$7,500.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Savoy	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

()

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

The design of the roadway and the soldier pile and lagging system for the reconstruction of the road is being finalized. Technical specifications are being compiled. The Notice of Intent is being prepared and will be submitted.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/011/9 West Springfield

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

()

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of West Springfield

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$37,500.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$37,500.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of West Springfield	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

Our environmental consultant, Tighe & Bond, completed the Phase 2 field work and is currently compiling their final report. Cost estimates for cleanup and demolition at Standard Plating may come in very close to our \$750,000 CDBG-DR award. Should actual contractor bids exceed our award, West Springfield may approach DHCD for an additional allotment of funds.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount



Grantee Activity Number: RD-1 CDBGDR/012/9 Williamstown

Activity Title: Adminstration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/12/2013 12/12/2015

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

()
National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Williamstown

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$15,000.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$15,000.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$15,000.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Williamstown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Location Description:

Activity Progress Narrative:

Work completed includes installation of erosion barriers, clearing and grubbing, installation of road sub-base and installation of water main. Sewer and drainage work is underway.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount

No Other Funding Sources Found

Total Other Funding Sources



Grantee Activity Number: RD-2 CDBGDR/001/9 Colrain

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Category: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

R1-MA CDBG DR - 3 Administration

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

12/15/2014 12/15/2016

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A Town of Colrain

Overall	Oct 1 thru Dec 31, 2014	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	N/A	\$27,250.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$27,250.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00
Town of Colrain	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Contributed	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

()

Administration for repairs to Town Garage.

After the amendment was posted, the grantee requested to be able to move some of the funds that had been designated for administration costs to the construction budget. The request was granted so that the construction activity budget and the administration activity budget differ from what was proposed in the amendment. \$20,250 was moved from administration to construction making those budgets \$27,250 and \$970,250 respectively.

Location Description:

9 Jacksonville Road, Colrain, MA 01340

Activity Progress Narrative:

The Town has submitted an application via Intelligrants with the help of Breezeway Farms Consulting.

Accomplishments Performance Measures



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Amount

